

Mark Scheme (Results)

January 2019

Pearson Edexcel IAL

In Economics (WBS03)

Paper 03 Stratgic Business Decisions

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded.
 Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Section A: Data response

Question Number	Question				
1 (a)	What is meant by the term private limited company? (Evidence A, line 3)	2 marks			
	Answer	Mark			
	 Usually a small/medium sized business entity separate from the people who own/run it (1) which limits shareholder liability to the value of shares issued (1) Shares are owned by friends/family (1) that is not listed/traded on the stock market (1) 1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks. Any other suitable alternative. 	1-2 marks			

Question Number	Question	
1 (b)	What is meant by the term risk? (Evidence B, line 5)	2 marks
. (3)	What is meant by the term risk. (Evidence B, inte s)	Ziliarks
	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge – up to 2 marks:	
	 A potential threat to the success of an enterprise (1) that could cause problems/failure/loss of money (1) 	1-2 marks
	1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks.	
	Any other suitable alternative.	

Question Number	Question	
2	Explain how the diversification shown in Evidence A can affect company growth.	6 marks
	Answer	Mark
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2)	
	Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks for knowledge/understanding of how diversification can affect company growth,	1-2 marks
	e.g. diversification will lead to having a wide range/large number of different types of products/productions (1) each aimed at different countries/markets/segments/audiences (1)	
	Application : up to 2 marks are available for contextualised answers based on the application of diversification to <i>Love Productions</i> ,	1-2 marks
	e.g. evidence shows that <i>Love Productions</i> diversifies by making factual shows/documentaries and entertainment shows (1) The Great British Bake Off is licensed for production in 20 countries (1)	
	Analysis: up to 2 marks are available for developing reasons/causes/consequence of diversification,	1-2
	e.g. company grows numerically as more shows are made for different countries/markets/segments/audiences (1) risk is reduced as shows are spread across different countries/markets/segments/audiences therefore growth is more likely to happen (1)	marks

Question Number	Question	
3	Liquidity is the ability of a business to meet its short term debts.	8 marks
	Analyse the liquidity of <i>Love Productions</i> , using the figures in Evidence E.	
	Answer	Mark
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4)	
	Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks are available for showing liquidity formula.	1-2 marks
	e.g. current assets ÷ (1) current liabilities (1)	
	Application: up to 2 marks are available for using correct formula and calculating correct liquidity ratio/current ratio, current assets/current liabilities = liquidity ratio	1-2 marks
	e.g. for 2016, 8 096 414 / 4 333 390 = 1.87 (1)	
	e.g. for 2015, 7 479 947 / 2 911 386 = 2.57 (1)	
	Analysis: Candidate analyses liquidity ratio/current ratio as calculated (own figure rule), using reasons/causes/ consequences/costs	1-4 marks
	e.g. for 2016 liquidity ratio of 1.87 shows that <i>Love Productions</i> is relatively healthy from a liquidity point-of-view (1) as their current assets are greater than their current liabilities (1)	
	e.g. for 2015, although the liquidity ratio of 2.57 shows that <i>Love Productions</i> is more than able to meet its liabilities (1) as it is over 2.0, there may be a concern that too much finance is tied-up in short-term assets (1)	
	e.g. although healthy, the liquidity ratio has fallen between 2015 and 2015 (1) as a result of current liabilities rising by a greater proportion than the change in current assets (1)	

Question Number	Question			
4		profitability of Love Productions, by cor i its 2015 figures. Evidence D.	nparing its 2016	10 marks
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content	t
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of what is meant by assessing profitability Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. comparing the profitability of 2016 with 2015 should indicate how well, or not, the business has done over time e.g. knowledge of correct formulae for profitability	
2	3-4	Application must be present, i.e. the answer must be contextualised and applied to the figures in Evidence D. Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.	e.g. Gross profit margin/profitab 33.1% from 34.4 e.g. Profit for the margin/profitab 6.2% from 13.8%	ility for 2016 is 1% in 2015 e year ility for 2016 is

3	5-7	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give	e.g. profitability/gross profit margin has gone down
		reasons/causes/costs/consequences of using profitability to analyse the performance of the business	e.g. profitability/profit for the year has gone down
		N.B. if analysis is not in context,	e.g. expenses have increased
		limit to Level 2.	e.g. tax has increased
		Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence.	e.g. overall profitability has declined y/y

		I = 1	1,66
4	8-10	Evaluation must be present and in	e.g. difficult to come to any firm
		context, when assessing the	conclusions as only two year's
		profitability of <i>Love Productions</i>	figures given, would need more
			data to identify any trends
		N.B. if there is evaluation but no	
		context at all, then limit to level 3	e.g. Evidence D only gives limited
			data from overall business
		Material is presented in a relevant	accounts, would need more data
		and logical way. Some punctuation	to make definitive assessment of
		and/or grammar errors may be found	financial performance
		but the writing has overall clarity and	
		coherence.	e.g. profitability is only one
		Conerence.	aspect of business performance.
			e.g. something exceptional may
			have happened to the business
			or the way that it presents its
			financial figures, which is not
			explained in evidence D.
			e.g. fall in profit and exceptional
			increase in tax between 2015
			and 2015 is not explained.
			e.g. that other ways of
			measuring and evaluating the
			performance of a business
			should be/could be used before
			drawing any conclusions

Question Number	Question					
5	Love Productions has the option of selling the existing UK programmes to other countries or developing new versions that meet the individual needs of each country. Assess the value to Love Productions of using Ansoff's matrix when making this decision.					
Level	Mark	Descript	or		Possible content	
1	1-2	matrix and decide a Material is and lacks punctuate	presented is often irreleva s organisation. Frequent ion and/or grammar erroi ne present and the writing	nt rs are	e.g. Ansoff's matrix decision-making m can be used to hel analyse its strategi options/opportuni growth e.g. Ansoff's matrix business to assess of risk or resource requirements for e	nodel that p businesses c ties for c can help a the degree
2	3-4	contextue how Ans used by a strategy Alternat Ansoff's the stem Material is there are is proper org grammar	Application must be present, contextualised answers that illustrate how Ansoff's matrix could have been used by Love Productions to identify its strategy for overseas markets Alternatively: Candidate may draw Ansoff's matrix and label it, based on the stem of Question 5: See below. Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.		e.g. Ansoff's matrix been used to help whether there is a opportunity for sal selling its existing pinto new overseas e.g. over 200 count the UK version of 1 British Bake Off and license for their owe.g. different count different cultural a requirements for the television program	identify n les growth by productions markets tries show The Great Id 20 have a vn version tries have nd social heir
	Existing	Market Market Penetration Produ Strategy Strate				
	New Ma	arket	Strategy i.e. sell its i.e. dev		sification Strategy evelop a new uction for each	

				new n	narket	
3	5-7	i.e. the careasons/of using A N.B. if an limit to I Material is relevant to be sustain punctuation be found.	in context must be presented in context must give causes/costs /consequer Ansoff's matrix in this context allows is presented in a generally and logical way but this mined throughout. Some ion and/or grammar error which cause some passagety or coherence.	nces ntext t, ay not	e.g. Ansoff's matricelative risk of take decisions e.g. potential product or market entries on the matrix and opportunities for associated risks at e.g. Ansoff would selling existing pronew markets is led developing new programment of the markets.	duction needs are plotted I the growth and re considered indicate that ogrammes to ss risky than

4 8-12 Evaluation must be present and in e.g. Ansoff's matrix is just one context, giving the downside, exploring decision making model, others the problems associated with relying may be used and could give on Ansoff's matrix different readings of the situation for *Love Productions* e.g. formal market research in Low Level 4: 8-10 marks. each market is likely to give Love Productions a better Evaluation must be present i.e. a indication of the needs of each candidate balances their answer by overseas market identifying the problems associated with Ansoff's matrix e.g. the indication of diversification as the best model will be the most High Level 4: 11-12 marks. expensive/least profitable option in an overseas market, Evaluation is developed to show a real and carries the greatest perceptiveness on the part of the risk/highest likelihood of failure candidate. Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and e.g. television programmes articulate, leading to a convincing may need to be changed conclusion. depending upon language and culture e.g. Ansoff's matrix may suggest N.B. if there is evaluation but no opportunities/alternative ways context at all, then limit to level 3 of achieving growth, such as developing new productions for the existing market Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence.

Section B: Essay questions

Question Number	Question		
6	stakehold Assess <i>BS</i> large shar	F states that <i>BSkyB</i> will become a major er in <i>Love Productions.</i> kyB's strategic decision to buy such a e of a relatively small production like <i>Love Productions</i> .	20 marks
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of a strategic decision Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. a long-term choice made by a business to influence its future direction and aims
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. candidate must give a contextualised answer relating to the strategic decision by <i>Sky</i> to buy <i>Love Productions</i> Low Level 2: 3–4 marks. Application is weak, candidate identifies a basic reason High Level 2: 5–6 marks. Application is clear, candidate identifies reasons and gives detailed reference to <i>BSkyB</i> buying into <i>Love Productions</i> Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.	e.g. Love Productions is an award winning business e.g. BSkyB already owns a large media company e.g. Evidence A shows that Love Productions make award winning television programmes e.g. Love Productions already distributes to over 200 countries e.g. Love Productions is a private limited company

3	7 -12	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must analyse the fact that <i>BSkyB</i> is buying a major share of <i>Love Productions</i> , giving reasons/causes/ costs/consequences of this Low Level 3: 7–9 marks. Analysis limited: only one or two reasons/causes/costs or consequences are outlined.	e.g. the status of BSkyB would be enhanced by acquiring such an award winning portfolio of programmes e.g. buying a major stake in a successful business will please BSkyB shareholders e.g. it will give BSkyB access to Love Productions resources
		High Level 3: 10–12 marks. Analysis is more developed: two or more reasons/causes/costs and/or	e.g. being a major stakeholder in <i>Love Productions</i> would give <i>BSkyB</i> access to markets in 200 countries beyond Europe
		consequences are outlined and developed.	e.g. a major share of <i>Love Productions'</i> revenue would be worth having as it is a
		N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2.	profitable company
		Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to	e.g. it will help achieve further economies of scale by combining resources including spreading risk and sales and distribution
		lack clarity or coherence.	e.g. <i>Sky</i> may have had to make such a large offer to convince the shareholders of the private limited company to sell

4 13-20 Evaluation must be present and in e.g. the potential of Love context, based on the drawbacks of Productions may not be buying a major stake in Love realised, and buying a major **Productions** stake could be a costly mistake Low Level 4: 13-14 marks. e.g. just buying into a business does not guarantee that they Some evaluative points are made, will acquire the talent, which referring to the drawbacks of may leave as they are unhappy about BSkyB buying such a Mid Level 4: 15-17 marks. Candidate major share gives a range of comments on the drawbacks of the strategy of buying a e.g. Love Productions major stake in Love Productions customers may not like the association with a major High Level 4: 18-20 marks. Candidate organisation like BSkyB gives a wide range of arguments, to highlight the drawbacks of buying a e.g. public taste may change major stake in *Love Productions* and Love Productions shows may lose popularity Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and articulate leading e.g. there may be a culture to a convincing conclusion. clash between the companies resulting in poor performance e.g. economies of scale may N.B. if there is evaluation but no not materialise because of context at all, then limit to level 3 difficulties in communication Material is presented in a relevant and and coordination possibly logical way. Some punctuation and/or leading to diseconomies of grammar errors may be found but the scale writing has overall clarity and coherence. e.g. having had to buy 70% of the shares, may mean opportunity cost for BSkyB

Question Number	Question	Question				
7	Evaluate	s changing its name and rebranding itself as <i>Sky.</i> 20 marks te the extent to which this will improve <i>Sky</i> 's competitive age. (Evidence G)				
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content			
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of competitive advantage and/or rebranding	e.g. competitive advantage is any feature of a business that helps to differentiate it from other similar businesses			
		Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. the decision to change a fundamental aspect of the corporate image, how it represents itself to stakeholders, such as the name/branding/ logo/image			
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. the answer must be contextualised and relate to the evidence provided about <i>Sky's competitive advantage</i> from rebranding	e.g. the competitive advantage outlined in Evidence G is based on rebranding e.g. the word 'British' is to be dropped from the name of the business			
		Low Level 2: 3–4 marks. Candidate makes a basic comments on competitive advantage from rebranding, as applied to <i>BSkyB</i>	e.g. the word 'Broadcasting' has been dropped from the name of the business e.g. <i>BSkyB</i> is moving into the European market			
		High Level 2: 5–6 marks.	e.g. <i>BSkyB</i> is reverting to its original name			
		Candidate makes a detailed reference to competitive advantage from rebranding, as applied to <i>BSkyB</i>				
		Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.				

3 7-12 Analysis in context must be present, i.e. e.g. businesses believe that the candidate must give rebranding is needed to better reasons/causes/costs/consequences of reflect current media the rebranding and how it affects the availability/usage competitive advantage of Sky e.g. it has dropped 'British' Low Level 3: 7-9 marks. because it is moving into the European market and does not Candidate will attempt a very basic wish to be associated with a analysis, making general points, on one single country or two elements from evidence. e.g. it has dropped 'Broadcasting' because the concept is too narrow and may High Level 3: 10-12 marks. be perceived as being old Candidate makes a more detailed fashioned. analysis, making specific points, on e.g. rebranding should elements drawn from across the increase the appeal of Sky to evidence. customers who do not have any association with the old BSkyB name N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2. e.g. rebranding should help the business move on from Material is presented in a generally any negative association with relevant and logical way but this may not the old name be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may e.g. the word 'Sky' is short and be found which cause some passages to simple to pronounce, which lack clarity or coherence. should help spread the name through the wider European market

4 13-20 Evaluation must be present and in e.g. a simple change of name will do little to fool consumers context, based on the extent to which who have negative rebranding improves the competitive associations with BSkyB advantage of Sky e.g. rebranding is extremely Low Level 4: 13-14 marks. costly, as the change will need to be made everywhere the old Some evaluative points are made, BSkyB name appears, such as referring to the drawbacks of Sky's advertising/ signage/ decision stationery/ company uniforms Mid Level 4: 15-17 marks. e.g. rebranding is extremely wasteful, as all materials Candidate gives a range of arguments, bearing the old name will need drawn from different pieces of evidence to be scrapped to show how the rebranding could be problematic e.g. for it to succeed, all employees must be trained to High Level 4: 18-20 marks. use to the new *Sky* name Candidate gives a wide range of e.g. the decision to change the arguments, to highlight the drawbacks name is not a foregone of rebranding conclusion, it must still be agreed by shareholders, who Several strands may be developed; may not approve the answer is clear and articulate leading to a convincing conclusion e.g. branding is just one element of the marketing mix, N.B. if there is evaluation but no name change may in itself be context at all, then limit to level 3 insufficient to improve competitive advantage Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or e.g. the name change is not grammar errors may be found but the sufficiently different to make writing has overall clarity and coherence. much difference to competitive advantage e.g. the quality and range of programmes on offer is arguably more important than a name change

Question	knowledge	application	analysis	evaluation	TOTAL
1a	2	-	-	-	2
1b	2	-	-	-	2
2	2	2	2	-	6
3	2	2	4	-	8
4	2	2	3	3	10
5	2	2	3	5	12
6	2	4	6	8	20
7	2	4	6	8	20
TOTAL	16	16	24	24	80

earson Education Limited. vith its registered office at	. Registered company nur 80 Strand, London, WC2	mber 872828 R ORL, United Kingdom	