

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge International Advanced Level

ACCOUNTING

9706/32 May/June 2016

Paper 3 Structured Questions MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 150

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

 \circledast IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

International Examinations

Page 2		Mark Scheme		namicpapers	Paper
		ational A Leve	el – May/June 2016	9706	32
(a)	Club produces income and e Surplus or deficit versus prof Accumulated fund versus ca Max 2	fit or loss. (1)	count; company produc	es income stater	nent. (1) [
(b)	The Seagull Trading account for the y	s Boating Club year ended 31			
		\$	\$		
	Revenues	Ψ	25750		
	Less cost of sales Opening inventory Purchases Closing inventory Gross profit Wages Profit for the year	3875 <u>8971</u> (1) (3423)	(9423) (1)of 16327 (1)of (9775) (1) 6552 (1)of		I
(c)		Subscrip	tions account		
	Balance b/d Income & expenditure a/c Balance c/d	720 24800 <u>240</u> (1) 25760	Balance b/d Bank/Cash Balance c/d	320 24 480 960 25 760	• •
	Balance b/d	960	Balance b/d	240	(1) bot
(d)	т	he Seagulls Bo	pating Club		
			the year ended 31 Mar	rch 2016	
	Subscriptions Cafe profit	\$	24800 (1)of for 6552 both	\$	
				31 352	
	General expenses	2380 (1)		01002	
	Depreciation	2250 (1)		(4630)	
	Surplus (1) (of income over expenditure)			26722 (1)of	

	www.dynamicpapers.com			
Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32	

(e) Benefits

Small organisation so may be purchased for relatively low cost. (1) Cost saving then continues. (1) Time saving (1) so can spend more time on other aspects of business. (1) Can be used for different aspects (e.g. payroll, invoicing, stock control etc.). (1) Integrated system. (1) Can use tailor made package. (1) Reduces storage space (1) as uses less paper. (1) Reduced manual input (1) so reduced errors. (1). Reduction in staff reducing wages cost (1). Can use IT to advertise the club to gain new members (1). Can use system to pay subscriptions on line (1). Password restricts access to data (1)

Limitations

Initial cost of the system (1). Computer could crash/virus (1) which could lead to loss of information. (1) Requirement to back up information. (1) Training costs of staff. (1) Greater security needed (1) to prevent fraud and data loss. (1) Cost of updating hardware and software. (1) Expensive maintenance and repairs. (1). Workers may be resistant to change or retraining (1). Staff fear for job security (1). System can be hacked and data stolen (1)

Award 1 mark for a decision and max 4 for benefits and max 4 marks for limitations [9]

[Total: 25]

2 (a)

Kempes Limited Manufacturing account for the year ended 30 September 2015.

	\$
Opening inventory of raw materials	110 000
Purchases of raw materials	794750
Carriage inwards	4250 (1)
	909000
Deduct closing inventory of raw materials	(125000)
Cost of raw materials used	784000 (1)of
Factory production wages	382 500
Prime cost (1)	1 166 500 (1)of
Factory supervisory wages	64000 (1)
Depreciation – plant and machinery	55000 }
General expenses	52000 } (1) for both
	1 337 500
Opening work in progress	17 500
Deduct closing work in progress	(14000) (1) both
Cost of manufacturing	1 341 000
Manufacturing profit	201 150 (1)of both
Transfer to trading account	1 542 150 (1)of

[9]

www.dynamicpap			
Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32

(b)

Kempes Limited Income statement for the year ended 30 September 2015.

Revenue Opening inventory of finished goods Transfer	\$ 19550 <u>1542150</u> (1)of 1561700	\$ 1 845 000	
Deduct closing inv. of finished goods Gross profit Manufacturing profit	(21505)	(1540195) 304805 201150 505955	(1)of (1)of
Deduct expenses: Administrative wages General expenses Depreciation – fixtures & fittings Increase in provision for unrealised profit Profit for the year	115000 } 28000 } (1)all three 37500 } <u>255 (</u> 1)	(180755) 325200	(1)of [6]

(c) The finished goods at the year-end are valued at cost plus the profit margin. (1) Unrealised profit should not be anticipated (1) and the profit element should be removed from the inventory by creating a provision for unrealised profit. (1)
IAS 2 (1) Lower of cost and net realisable value (1) Prudence /Not overstating profits/assets (1).

(d) October other income \$405(1) increases profit (1).

(e) The company manufactures because it can produce goods cheaper than buying them for resale (1). This mark-up increases the cost of sales (1) and therefore reduces the gross profit (1) and is added back in the income statement (1) leaving net profit unchanged (1). Since the bought in price has risen, the transfer price accordingly should rise, too (1) so the proposal to increase the mark-up to 20% should be adopted (1). Increasing mark-up may lead to staff gaining higher bonus (1) and therefore improving morale (1) and possibly productivity and profit (1)

May not change (1) because increase overall cost of manufacture (1) which may affect selling price (1) which makes goods uncompetitive (1) and may result in lost sales/lower profit (1).

1 for advice/decision + 1 per valid point, max 4

[5]

[2]

[Total: 25]

Page 5 3 (a) (i)	Cambridge Int Premises Machinery Vehicles Inventory Trade receivables Trade payables Dissolution cost Balance	\$ 115 000 40 000 78 000 15 000 4 000 7 100 (1) 3 800 (1)	May/June 2016 on account Trade payables Vehicles – Anjali Vehicles – Bailey Cash collected Purchase considerati	7 15 12 3	Paper 32 \$ 500 000 (1) 500 (1) 900 (1) 000 (1)
3 (a) (i)	Machinery Vehicles Inventory Trade receivables Trade payables Dissolution cost	\$ 115 000 40 000 78 000 15 000 4 000 7 100 (1) 3 800 (1)	Trade payables Vehicles – Anjali Vehicles – Bailey Cash collected	7 15 12 3	500 000 (1) 500 (1) 900 (1)
	Machinery Vehicles Inventory Trade receivables Trade payables Dissolution cost	115000 40000 78000 15000 4000 7100 (1) 3800 (1)	Vehicles – Anjali Vehicles – Bailey Cash collected	7 15 12 3	500 000 (1) 500 (1) 900 (1)
		<u>31000</u> (1of) 293900		_293	<u>900</u> [7]
(ii)		Capital Accou	nts		
cles erence shares nary shares	A \$ 15000 (1) both 36000 (1) both 105625 (1) both	B \$ 12500 Balance b/ 24000 Profit on re 89375 Bank	•	l) both 11 l)of 1	B \$ 0 000 2 400 (1) o 3 475* (1)of
-	156625	125875	156625		5875
	* for both bank figu	res			
	244 000 + 3900 - 71		4000 – 255000 = 1100 2500 = 264500 – 2445 = –11500 (1) bank		
(iii)	\$255000 - 60000 = 195000 / 1.25 = 156 156000 × 0.25 = \$39	000 shares (1)			[2]
(b) Anja	•		0	erence 0/\$11375	
Bail				0/\$11375	
	fit sharing ratio used /\$11375 (1) worse of		11375 (1) better off (1)	and Bailey w	ould be

		www.dynan	nicpapers	s.com			
Page	e 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper			
		Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32			
(0	(c) The partnership had an overdraft (1) and had more trade payables than trade (1) If the value the inventory was sold the partnership had a negative working of Given that partnership had unlimited liability and limited companies have limited seems the prudent action was to form a limited company, in order to protect the assets. Each gets preference shares with fixed rate of dividend (1). Have votin May raise more capital (1) Shares may gain value (1)						
	I	reference dividend (1)					
		1) for decision + 1 per valid point, max 4		[5]			
				[Total: 25]			
4 (a		Allows comparison (1) over years/different businesses (1) Allows managers to measure their performance (1) by setting targets/b Alds decision making (1) by measuring risk, profitability, liquidity, efficie Provides information (1) to users/potential investors (1)		1)			
		+ 1 for development		[4]			
(b)	i) Return on capital employed $\frac{\$96200}{(\$477000 + \$550000)} \times 100\% = 9.37\%$ (1)	6 (1)				
	(i) Gearing $\frac{\$550000}{(\$477000 + \$550000)} \times 100\% = 53.55\%$ (1) (1)					
	(i	i) Income gearing $\frac{\$66000(1)}{\$96200} \times 100\% = 68.61\%$ (1)					
	(i	Working capital cycle	Days				
		Trade Receivables Turnover $\frac{\$132400 \times 365}{\$843000}$	58	(1)			
		Inventory Turnover <u>1/2(\$88800 + \$76000)×365</u> \$425800	71	(1)			
			129	(1)			
		Less: Trade Payables Turnover $\frac{\$93000 \times 365}{\$438600}$ (1)	<u>78</u>	(1)			
			<u>51</u>	(1)of			
		*425800 + 88800 - 76000 = 438600					

		www.dynam	nicpapers.	.com
Page 7		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	C	ambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32
	(v) Price e	earnings ratio		
	*\$3020	00 / (\$400 000 ÷ \$2) = \$0.151		[14
(c)	Responses	could include:		
	Takie plc	Low proportion of fixed rate loan to capital employed (i.e. Low geared company	9.76%)	
		Low proportion of interest to profit before interest (i.e. 8.38	3%)	
		Financial risk is low		
		Interest burden is small		
	Corim plc	Higher proportion of fixed rate loan to capital employed (i. Highly geared company	e. 53.55%)	
		Higher proportion of interest to profit before interest (i.e. 6	8.61%)	
		Financial risk is high		
		Heavy interest burden		
	1 mark × 4	valid points. Maximum 2 marks for each company		[4]
(d)	of 9.32%. S The loan i employed o Takie plc is Takie plc g Chen shou	terest rate of Takie plc is 8%, which is lower than the return Shareholders of Takie plc will benefit. Interest rate of Corim plc is 12%, which is higher than of 9.37%. Shareholders of Corim plc will suffer. I less risky than Corim plc ives more potential to dividend payments Id invest in Takie plc Limited prim plc because has higher ROCE	-	

1 mark for decision + 2 marks for development

[3]

[Total: 25]

 •	
/namicpapers.con	\mathbf{a}
 nannepapere.cen	

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32

5 (a) Activity based costing is based on the activities required to produce the item. (1) Costs are collected into cost pools (1) and apportioned to products based on cost drivers (1).

Under absorption costing costs are apportioned to cost centres (1) using a suitable basis for apportionment (1), e.g. direct labour hours, machine hours or units (1)

2 marks for each method

(b) Total overheads \$44 250 Total direct labour hours 5875

Overhead absorption rate =	$=\frac{44250}{5875}=$ \$7.53 p	er hour (1)of
Raw materials Direct labour Overheads	Y 5.00 6.00 <u>5.65</u> (1)of <u>16.65</u> (1)of	Z 7.50 (1) both 8.00 (1)both 7.53 (1)of 23.03 (1)of

(c) Allocation of overheads:

	Y	Z	Total
Machine maintenance overheads	4722	3778 (1)both	8 500
Purchasing overheads	7792	9208 (1)both	17000
Selling & distribution overheads	6505	12245 (1)both	18750
	19019	25231	44 250
	Y	Z	
Raw materials	5.00	7.50	
Direct labour	6.00	8.00	
Overheads	7.61 (1)of	6.31 (1)of	
	18.61 (1)of	21.81 (1)of	

(d) (i) Total profit using absorption costing

Absorption costing profit:

Υ	\$ 5875	(1)of	(2.35 (19 – 16.65) × 2500)
Ζ	\$ 7880	(1)of	(1.97 (25 – 23.03) × 4000)

Total profit using ABC

Y	\$ 975	(1)of	(0.39 (19 – 18.62) × 2500)
Ζ	\$ 12760	(1)of	(3.19 (25 – 21 81) × 4000)

(ii) The results show different levels of profit depending on the overhead costing method used (1).

[4]

[7]

[7]

[4]

	www.dynamicpapers.co				
Page 9	Mark Scher		Syllabus	Paper	
	Cambridge International A Le	vel – May/June 2016	9706	32	
(e)	Since costs are only assigned to the pro (1) activity based costing should be adop	•	y (1) it is mo	re realistic	
	Not using ABC (1) – More time consumir	g (1), requires specialist know	wledge (1)		
	1 for advice + 1 for justification.			[2]	
				[Total: 25]	
6 (a)	Standard costing is the preparation and u (1) to calculate variances (1), prepare bu		ed or expecte	ed costs [2]	
(b)	Variances for the year ended 31 August	2015			
		\$	\$		
	(i) material price AQ(AP - SP) = material usage SP(AQ - SQAP		40 (1) fav (00 (1) adv (
	(ii)				
	labour rate AH(AR – SR) = labour efficiency SR(AH – SHAP)		50 (1) fav (50 (1) adv (
(c)	Reconciliation statement	\$			
	material variances $(8640 - 2700)$ (5) labour variances $(9450 - 4050)$	9400 (1) 5940) (1)of 5400 (1)of 3860 (1)			
				F 4 1	

www.dynamicnanore.com

(d) The cost of materials is cheaper (1) due to a change in supplier but the quality (1) of the materials may not be as good as there has been a greater usage per blanket (1) If the workforce is being less efficient or is less skilled this may also account for the greater usage of materials (1) or more wastage (1). max 3.

The labour is paid less per hour than expected (1) but this may be because they are less skilled (1) as they have used more hours (1). Alternatively it may be because there are no longer quality assurance checks so the workforce is producing blankets less carefully and efficiently. (1) which may lead to more wastage (1) max 3

overall max 6

[6]

[4]

		www.dynamicpapers.com			
Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper		
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	32		

(e) If quality assurance checks are stopped then need to employ a workforce with more skills (1) as long as the cost does not exceed the benefit (1). If quality check is stopped, cost saved (1) but may affect product (1) may lead to less sales/profit (1).

Cheaper materials of poorer quality (1) may increase the adverse material variance (1) and labour efficiency (1) and lead to less sales/profit (1). Reputation of the business may also suffer (1). Cheaper supplier reduces costs (1), but quality must be maintained otherwise adverse effect on sales/profit (1)

Keeping the selling price at \$40 will not have a direct effect on costs (1).

1 mark for recommendation + max **4 marks** for comments

[Total: 25]

[5]

www.dvnamicnaners.com