

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

PSYCHOLOGY 9698/22

Paper 2 Core Studies 2

October/November 2018

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 70

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2018 Page 2 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
1	Maguire et al. (taxi drivers) carried out an experiment which stu activation of the right hippocampus. An alternative way to investhis would be to use the case study method.		
1(a)	Describe the features of the case study method.		5
	Any five correct points. 1 mark for each point up to a maximum of five points Indicative content: Involves a few participants. Participants are often 'special' in some way. Lots of detailed data/qualitative data mainly collected Often takes place over a long period of time. Often looks at development of the individual or small group.		
	Researchers can build a relationship with the participant. May be in context of research/therapy.		
	An explained example is acceptable but will only be given a maximu one mark (no credit for just naming a researcher/study).	m of	
	1 mark for naming an appropriate method used within a case study of interview.	e.g.	
1(b)	Design an alternative way to investigate activation of the right hippocampus as a case study and describe how it could be cor	nducted.	10
	Candidates should describe the who, what, where and how.		
	Major omissions include the <i>who</i> , <i>what</i> and <i>how</i> . Candidates must determine the behaviour being measured (e.g. activation of right hippocampus) details must be given of who the participant(s) is in the study to indicate a case study and how the data is collected from participant (e.g. throbrain scan, etc.)). Some cate it is	
	Minor omissions include further details of who, where and unclear de what and how (e.g. specific scanner used such as a PET scan).	etails of	
	Alternative study is incomprehensible.	0	
	Alternative study is muddled and impossible to conduct.	1–2	
	Alternative study is muddled but possible and/or there are major omissions.	3–4	
	Alternative study is clear with 2+ minor omissions.	5–6	
	Alternative study is described with one minor omission and in some detail.	7–8	
	Alternative study is described in sufficient detail to be replicable.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 3 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
1(c)	1(c) Evaluate this alternative way of studying activation of the right hippocampus in methodological and practical terms.		
	Candidates need to consider a number of points regarding their stud. These points can be positive and/or negative.	ly.	
	Appropriate points could include a discussion about:		
	Time consuming Difficult to find a willing and suitable participant to be in the study Ethical issues Generalisability of the sample Ecological validity of the case study Poor/strong validity due to data collection method chosen in the case Good reliability if highly controlled or poor reliability if lacking in controlled desirability/demand characteristics if staff/patients realise they being studied. Strengths and weaknesses of qualitative/quantitative data collected Researcher bias Difficulties in collecting data in a case study Detailed findings Any appropriate issues with equipment used Any other appropriate point.	rols	
	No evaluation	0	
	Evaluation is muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Evaluation is simplistic and not specific to the investigation. May include one point that is brief and specific to the investigation.	3–4	
	Evaluation is simplistic but specific to the investigation (may include general evaluation). May include one detailed point.	5–6	
	Evaluation is good and specific to the investigation. Two or more points.	7–8	
	Evaluation is detailed and directly relevant to the investigation. Two or more points.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 4 of 11

Question	Answer	Marks
2	Haney, Banks and Zimbardo conducted a study to investigate social roles in a mock prison.	1
2(a)	Outline what is meant by the 'situational explanation of behaviour' in psychology.	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full.	
	Situational explanation of behaviour is where behaviour is explained because of the situation we are in. – 1 mark	
	This is where our behaviour is explained in terms of the physical or social context/situation we are in. For example, we might be aggressive because we were raised in an aggressive home. – 2 marks	
	Explanations are based on factors which are external to the individual such as other people, the setting or the place and not due to our biology or genetic make-up. – 2 marks	
	An example of a situational explanation of behaviour on its own can achieve up to 1 mark.	
2(b)	Describe <u>one</u> finding from the Haney, Banks and Zimbardo study that supports the situational explanation of behavior.	3
	1–2 marks partial, 3 marks full.	
	Indicative content:	
	Any finding that shows how the situation affected the participants (either the guards or the prisoners or both).	
	The guards became increasingly verbally abusive during the study. – 1 mark	
	The guards became increasingly verbally abusive during the study due to uniform they wore which made them feel superior to the prisoners. – 2 marks	
	The guards became increasingly verbally abusive during the study due to uniform they wore which made them feel superior to the prisoners. This highlights the situational explanation as it shows how the power the guards were given affected their behaviour. – 3 marks	

© UCLES 2018 Page 5 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
2(c)	Discuss the strengths and weaknesses of investigating the situexplanation of behaviour using the Haney, Banks and Zimbardo as an example.		10
	Appropriate strengths and weaknesses will be varied. These could in	nclude:	
	Strengths		
	 Weaknesses Validity of measures The research is often unethical as participants are placed in uncomfortable social situations Difficult to create completely realistic situations Determinism results as suggests situational factors are sole caubehaviour Ignores individual differences Sample (clearly linked to the situational explanation) Difficult to determine if behaviour is due to the situation or the disposition of participants. Any other appropriate point.	use of	
	No comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the situational explanation.	0	
	Comment given but muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Consideration of at least a strength and a weakness not specific to investigation OR Consideration of either a strength/weakness that is specific to situational explanation and investigation (could be two strengths and/or two weaknesses on its own).	3–4	
	Consideration of two or more points (at least one strength and one weakness) which are clear and specific to investigation.	5–6	
	Consideration of at least two strengths and two weaknesses which are clear and specific to investigation.	7–8	
	Consideration of at least two strengths and two weaknesses which are good and directly relevant to the investigation.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 6 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
2(d)	Discuss the extent to which the Haney, Banks and Zimbardo st ethical.	udy was	10
	Appropriate points will be varied. These could include:		
	Good ethics due to: Debriefed at the end Informed consent Could withdraw from study Names were never released		
	 Poor ethics due to: Not fully informed consent as the full nature of the study was no revealed. Difficult to withdraw Psychological harm Video footage taken 	vt	
	No comment on ethics.	0	
	Comment given but muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Consideration of ethics but not specific to investigation OR Consideration of ethics but is simplistic and brief but specific to investigation.	3–4	
	Consideration of ethics is simplistic but specific to investigation. May include one detailed point.	5–6	
	Consideration of ethics which is good but brief and specific to investigation. (Two or more points in some detail.)	7–8	
	Consideration of ethics which is detailed and directly relevant to the investigation. (Two or more points which are detailed.)	9–10	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	Outline what is meant by 'qualitative data'.	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full.	
	Example answer – Descriptive results = 1 mark. Words = 1 mark Results that are collected through open questions which give descriptive responses. = 2 marks	
	No credit given for describing what qualitative data is not (e.g. numerical data).	

© UCLES 2018 Page 7 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
Using the s	studies from the list below, answer the questions which follow:		
Thigpen an	(sane in insane places) nd Cleckley (multiple personality disorder) d Kleitman (sleep and dreaming)		
3(b)	Describe how the qualitative data were collected in each of these studies.	е	9
	Indicative content: Most likely answers (any appropriate answer recordit):	eives	
	Rosenhan: Investigated diagnosis of mental health. Diagnoses of the pseudopatients were recorded. While in the hospitals, pseudopatients in their diaries about the behaviour witnessed of both staff and patient the hospitals. They recorded the behaviour of the staff and patients. Any appropriate behaviour described such as the treatment of other pseudopatients during their stay Nervous Mr X), description of events that led up to the diagnosis of o acquisitive syndrome, etc.	s wrote its in Accept patients (e.g.	
	Thigpen and Cleckley: Data collected via interviews and hypnosis was well as her family. Any relevant description of Eve's behaviour from study. Behaviour/experiences as a child and also as an adult with her Description of getting lost in the woods, the shopping trip, behaviour sessions as well as with outside therapist, personality via ink blot test human figures test, etc.	m the r family. during	
	Dement and Kleitman: Participants woken at various points in the number both REM and NREM sleep and asked for the content of their dream addition, the researchers noted the direction of eye movement and an whether this correlated with the content of the dream.	. În	
	For each study		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of point relevant to question but not related to study or comment from study but no point about qualitative data from the study. The description may be very brief or muddled.	1	
	Description of point about qualitative data from the study. A clear description that may lack some detail.	2	
	As above but with analysis (comment with comprehension) about qualitative data from the study. A clear description that is in sufficient detail.	3	
	Max mark	9	

© UCLES 2018 Page 8 of 11

	Answer		Mark
3(c)	What are the strengths of collecting qualitative data?		
	Emphasis on strength. Answers supported with named (or other) stu- Each advantage does not need a different study; can use same study		
	Indicative content: Detailed data Usefulness of data Holistic Explanatory power. Can be ethical research as due to extensive nature of study the partirealises they are in a study. Due to in-depth nature of study the researcher builds up a close relativith the participant and this can lead to more valid findings. Any other appropriate strength.	•	
	Any other appropriate strength.		
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points.		
		0	
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points.	0 1	
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points. No answer or incorrect answer.		
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points. No answer or incorrect answer. Identification of strength. Description of strength related to collecting qualitative data OR a weak description of strength related to collecting qualitative data	1	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	Outline what is meant by the term 'ethnocentric bias' in psychology.	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full.	
	A distortion caused by a focus on the researcher's own culture which narrows the appropriateness of the methods when used with participants from other cultures and causes a lack of generalisability of the finding to other cultures.	
	Accept a discussion about the ethnocentric nature of psychological materials.	
	Where the study uses a limited group of Ps and the results cannot be generalised to the wider population. – 1 mark	
	Where the researcher focuses on his own culture and is therefore unable to generalise his findings to other cultures. – 2 marks	

© UCLES 2018 Page 9 of 11

Question	Answer		Marks
Using the	studies from the list below, answer the questions which follow:		
Baron-Coh	al. (subway Samaritans) en et al. (eyes test) rgroup categorisation)		
4(b)	Describe how the data were collected in each of these studies.		9
	Piliavin et al.: Data were collected by two independent female obse who sat in the adjacent area. They noted the number, gender and rapassengers in the carriage. They noted the characteristics of who he and how long it took before anyone helped. They also wrote down as comments made by participants during the study about the incident.	ice of all elped	
	Baron-Cohen: Group 1 (autistic/AS participants) and Group 4 (IQ montrols) were given the short WAIS-R. This was to show that lack of advanced theory of mind is unconnected to IQ. Participants (Group Autistic/AS, Group 3 – Students and Group 4 – IQ matched controls also given the AQ test (Autistic Spectrum Quotient) to show the level autism in these groups. Eyes test and gender test.	f 1 –) were	
	Tajfel: Participants completed matrices of rewards of points to both and out-group members (study 1). This was done after participants of told which group they belonged to (over-/under-estimator and Klee/Kandinsky). The matrices were called a reward and punishment mat boys awarded points on the basis of maximum joint profit, maximum group profit and maximum difference (study 2).	were	
	For each study		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of point relevant to question but not related to study or comment from study but no point about data collection. The description may be very brief or muddled.	1	
	Description of point about data collection from the study. A clear description that may lack some detail.	2	
	As above but with analysis (comment with comprehension) about	3	

data collection. A clear description that is in sufficient detail.

9

© UCLES 2018 Page 10 of 11

Max mark

Question	Answer		Marks
4(c)	What difficulties may psychologists have when they carry out research in one country/culture?		0
	Emphasis on difficulty. Answers supported with named (or other) stu Each problem does not need a different study; can use same study.	dies.	
	Indicative content: Difficult to find a generalisable sample. Lowers validity of data collection method/findings Researchers don't realise procedures are either irrelevant or impossicomplete by the participants in a particular culture. Experimenter bias in interpretation of the data Leading questions	ible to	
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points.		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of difficulty.	1	
	Description of difficulty related to carrying out research in one country/culture OR a weak description of a difficulty related to one country/culture and applied to a study.	2	
	Description of difficulty related to one country/culture and applied to the study effectively.	3	
	Max mark	9	

© UCLES 2018 Page 11 of 11