

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/11 Listening</p>

Key messages

- Candidates were usually well aware of the requirements of the examination.
- As intended, there was a gradient of difficulty on the paper and the final section was found to be the most challenging but even weaker candidates usually scored some marks on this section.
- There were cases of candidates whose answers were not clear due to them appearing to overwrite their first attempts to answer. This was often very difficult to read. Centres need to remind candidates to write very clearly in blue or black pen. Candidates should cross out very clearly any material which they do not wish the Examiner to consider.
- There were many cases of poor handwriting which made scripts very difficult to read.
- Most candidates wrote as briefly as possible. Full sentences are not required in responses and candidates should be aware that if answers are long, there is the danger that extra distorting details may be included which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer.
- Answers were marked on the basis of communication and comprehension.
- Some candidates need to be more aware concerning ticking the correct number of boxes in objective exercises.

General comments

The candidature overall performed well on the first two sections of the paper. Nearly all candidates went on to attempt the final section with weaker candidates being able to be successful on a few questions in each of the two exercises. There was a full range of performance on the paper with a good number of candidates scoring well on it. The exercises discriminated appropriately across the gradient of difficulty in the paper. It was also evident that the examined topics and contexts were very accessible to all candidates.

The majority of candidates were familiar with the rubrics and well aware of the requirements of the test types. Some candidates remain unsure as to the correct number of boxes to be ticked on multiple-choice exercises and, in particular, on **Question 16**. There were cases of candidates ticking four or eight boxes rather than six boxes. On other multiple-choice questions, one box only should be ticked. A few candidates tried to use a system of both ticks and crosses. Either is acceptable but not both used together. If candidates need to take notes during their listening time, they should be advised not to write these notes in the space intended for the answer.

The French extracts heard by candidates gradually increased in terms of length and density and featured both monologues and conversations. The emphasis of the questions moved from targeting the candidates' ability to pick out information contained in short factual pieces, to testing their ability to understand specific factual information, as well as opinions and explanations, in longer narrated accounts and conversations. Longer extracts featured a variety of register and references to both past and future events. Vocabulary which was tested in the first two sections of the test was drawn from the vocabulary as set out in the Defined Content.

Candidates usually appreciated the need to write as briefly and clearly as possible and understood that full sentences were not required in response. Brief answers are preferable on this paper as candidates do not run the risk of extra distorting material being added which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer. Candidates also seemed aware of the need not to answer or infer from general knowledge. Candidates should **not** try to include extra material which is not on the recording and should **not** try to paraphrase answers. They should aim to write precisely what they hear and keep the question in mind when writing their answer.

There were many cases of poor handwriting which sometimes made it very difficult to read answers. Centres must stress to candidates the need to write clearly and not to use pencil to make a first attempt and then overwrite this in pen. Please remind all candidates that, if they wish to make a second attempt at an answer, they should cross out their first attempt very clearly. This is particularly important on multiple-choice questions. If Examiners see alternative answers the mark is not awarded. Likewise, letters should be clearly written as poorly shaped letters may be seen as unacceptable spellings of answers. Candidates should always be encouraged to attempt every question.

The Listening paper tests comprehension. Accuracy in written responses in French is not an issue provided that the message is clear. If the answer sounds and reads like French it will be accepted provided that the message is unambiguous. If however a word offered means something else in French then it will not be accepted as it may introduce a distorting concept. Candidates should not offer a choice of answers to the Examiner.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–8

This first exercise tested the understanding of eight short conversations/monologues through multiple-choice questions with visual options. Candidates usually performed very well in this opening exercise which is intended to give them a confident start to the paper. The extracts were straightforward and short. The vocabulary areas tested time, food, leisure activities, household vocabulary, weather and personal items. Rubrics and visuals were generally well understood by candidates.

This exercise caused few problems to candidates and candidates answered confidently. Scores were generally very good on this opening exercise. On **Question 5**, some were unsure as to the meaning of *lumière* and, on **Question 8**, some candidates were unfamiliar with *portefeuille*. Other questions were very well answered.

Exercise 2 Questions 9–15

Candidates heard a longer extract which featured an advert for a languages school in Montreal. Questions tested a month, tourist and leisure activities, facilities and food. Candidates generally approached this exercise very well and scored high marks but, in **Question 9**, some candidates incorrectly spelt *août*. **Questions 10, 11 and 12** were well done with many gaining the marks. On **Question 13**, *salle de bains* was not always well known and option **A** was sometimes incorrectly chosen on this question. **Questions 14 and 15** were very well done. Overall, candidates scored well on this exercise.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Question 16

Performance on this exercise was good. Candidates are mostly well accustomed to the exercise type and its requirements. There were, however, some cases of candidates ticking more than six boxes or four boxes only. Candidates should also be reminded to use a consistent method to indicate their answers: ticks **or** crosses are both acceptable, but are likely to cause confusion when used together. They should not attempt to put a tick and/or a cross in all boxes. Six of the twelve boxes need to be left blank.

Occasionally, candidates chose option **(h)** instead of option **(i)**, but there was no pattern of incorrect answers on other options.

Exercise 2 Questions 17–25

In this exercise, candidates heard two interviews with a young French girl, Karine, who talked about living in Tokyo. In the first interview, candidates were required to correct an incorrect detail in each of five statements, a question type with which they were clearly familiar. The missing words were all items which appear in the vocabulary lists of the Defined Content. The exercise represented a step up in the incline of difficulty of the test and the extract heard was longer than the one heard in the previous exercise.

Candidates often approached this first part of the exercise fairly confidently but incorrect spelling of key items of vocabulary sometimes let down weaker candidates. On **Question 17**, many candidates rendered *informaticien* as *pharmaticien* or *formaticien*. **Questions 18, 19** and **20** were generally approached quite well but, on **Question 18**, some tried to insert a different school year rather than *terminale*. On **Question 19**, weaker candidates found it difficult to give a correct spelling of the very commonly met word *train* and wrote instead *tren* or *tram*. **Question 20** was also done quite well and most candidates were able to identify *lire* but some invalidated their answers by adding extra distorting details such as *romans* or *CD*. **Question 21** was done slightly better with most being able to identify *mode*. Incorrect answers here featured attempts such as *monde*.

Candidates heard another interview with Karine and were required to give short answers in French. On **Question 22**, many candidates answered correctly and were able to identify the key words *regarde* and *portable*. On **Question 23**, most candidates answered correctly but some invalidated their answers by adding words such as *messages*. For **Question 24**, candidates often missed the key word (*écran*) or did not spell it correctly. On **Question 25**, most candidates were able to identify *historiques*, but some answered instead with the first kind of films heard and did not always read the question carefully. Some invalidated their answers by adding *science fiction* to their answers.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 26–31

Candidates heard an interview with Christian, an explorer who talked about his life, his travels and future plans. This was a suitably demanding exercise for this stage of the examination but even the weaker candidates were usually able to score 1 or 2 marks on the exercise. The question type used was multiple choice with written options. Questions tested not just specific factual information but also gist understanding over the longer extract. Candidates needed to identify attitudes and emotions in some questions and be able to understand a narrative which, in places, depended upon them understanding a sequence of events.

Many candidates chose the incorrect option **C** in **Question 26**. This showed a need for careful reading of the question which asked where Christian spent his holidays when he was small. Some rushed in and wrote the first place referred to on the recording as Christian first stated he had grown up in a village. **Questions 27** and **28** were very well done. Only about half the candidates answered **Questions 29** and **30** correctly. To gain the mark on **Question 30**, candidates needed to listen to the whole speech and understand that Christian decided not to drive any longer and go adventuring now on foot, by kayak or by bike. Candidates answered **Question 31** well and were able to show that they could recognise the synonym for *raconter* heard on the recording in the key to the question.

Exercise 2 Questions 32–40

This was an appropriately demanding exercise at this stage of the paper and a full range of performance was seen. Many weaker candidates made commendable efforts to answer at least some questions and were usually able to score a few marks. There was a good mix of harder and more accessible questions on this last exercise. The questions were designed to make short responses possible and only a few candidates seemed unaware of this.

Candidates heard an interview with Annie who talked about her job as a chef. Candidates started the exercise fairly confidently and, on **Question 32**, were able to give an acceptable spelling of *pâtissier*. On **Question 33**, good numbers were able to understand the key words *terminer* and *études* and gained the mark. The majority of candidates also attempted **Question 34** well but only the better candidates identified that Annie had been able to meet different chefs on **Question 35**. **Question 36** was equally demanding with many candidates finding it difficult to identify *créer* and *menus*. Much better attempts were seen on **Question 37** and many weaker candidates also gained the mark here showing that they were still engaged with the text and making good efforts to complete the task. The best candidates coped well on **Question 38**, but some could not spell *plus* correctly, or answered *plus nombre*. Candidates made a very good attempt on **Question 39** and many were successful on this question. **Question 40** was a suitable challenging end to the examination. To be successful here, candidates just needed to identify the key concept of *chaleur*. Some spelt the word incorrectly and many invalidations were seen as candidates who attempted to write *des fours* distorted the correct information by writing *feux* or *fort*. Others also misheard the extra supporting adjective *désagréable* and invalidated their answer by adding *agréable*.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/12 Listening</p>
--

Key messages

- Candidates were usually well aware of the requirements of the examination.
- As intended, there was a gradient of difficulty on the paper and the final section was the most difficult but even weaker candidates usually scored some marks on this section.
- There were cases of candidates whose answers were not clear due to them appearing to overwrite their first attempts to answer, which was often very difficult to read. Centres need to remind candidates to write very clearly in blue or black pen. Candidates should cross out very clearly any material which they do not wish the Examiner to consider.
- There were many cases of poor handwriting which made scripts very difficult to read.
- Most candidates wrote as briefly as possible. Full sentences are not required in responses and candidates should be aware that if answers are long, there is the danger that extra distorting details may be included which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer.
- Answers were marked on the basis of communication and comprehension.
- Some candidates need to be more aware concerning ticking the correct number of boxes in objective exercises.

General comments

The candidature overall performed well on the first two sections of the paper and nearly all candidates went on to attempt the final section with less able candidates successful on a few questions in each of the final two exercises. There was a full range of performance on the paper with a good number of candidates scoring well. The exercises discriminated appropriately across the gradient of difficulty in the paper. It was also evident that the examined topics and contexts were very accessible to all candidates.

The majority of candidates were familiar with the rubrics and well aware of the requirements of the test types. Some candidates remain unsure as to the correct number of boxes to be ticked on multiple-choice exercises and, in particular, on **Question 16**. There were cases of candidates ticking four or eight boxes rather than six boxes. On other multiple-choice questions, one box only should be ticked. A few candidates tried to use a system of both ticks and crosses; either is acceptable but not both used together. If candidates need to take notes during their listening time, they should be advised not to write these notes on the line intended for the answer.

The French extracts heard by candidates gradually increased in terms of length and density and featured both monologues and conversations. The emphasis of the questions moved from targeting the candidates' ability to pick out information contained in short factual pieces, to testing their ability to understand specific factual information, as well as opinions and explanations, in longer narrated accounts and conversations. Longer extracts featured a variety of register and references to both past and future events. The vocabulary tested in the first two sections of the test was drawn from the vocabulary as set out in the Defined Content.

Candidates usually appreciated the need to write as briefly and clearly as possible and understood that full sentences were not required in response. Brief answers are preferable on this paper as candidates do not run the risk of extra distorting material being added which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer. Candidates should not try to include extra material which is not on the recording and should not try to paraphrase answers. They should aim to write precisely what they hear and keep the question in mind when writing their answer.

Centres must stress to candidates the need to write clearly and not to use pencil to make a first attempt and then overwrite this in pen. Please remind all candidates that, if they wish to make a second attempt at an answer, they should cross out their first attempt very clearly. This is particularly important on multiple-choice

questions. If Examiners can see more than one attempt at an answer the mark is not awarded. Likewise, letters should be clearly written as poorly shaped letters may be seen as unacceptable spellings of answers. Candidates should always be encouraged to attempt every question.

The Listening paper tests comprehension. Accuracy in written responses in French is not an issue provided that the message is clear. If the answer sounds and reads like French it will be accepted provided that the message is unambiguous. If however a word offered means something else in French then it will not be accepted as it may introduce a distorting concept. In addition, candidates should not offer a choice of answers to the Examiner.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–8

This first exercise tested the understanding of eight short conversations/monologues through multiple-choice questions with visual options. Candidates were very successful in this exercise and many scored full marks.

In **Question 2**, some candidates were unfamiliar with *guichet*. In **Question 6**, some candidates incorrectly chose option **B** instead of **A**.

Exercise 2 Questions 9–15

Candidates heard a longer extract which featured tourist information about a *Centre de vacances*. There were seven marks available for this exercise and many candidates scored between 5 and 7 marks.

For **Question 9**, a large number of candidates were not able to write *juillet*. **Questions 10, 12 and 13** were answered well but some candidates did not understand *chalets en bois* in **Question 11**. In the second half of this exercise, **Questions 13 and 15** were answered correctly by a large number of candidates. In **Question 14**, however, candidates chose option **A** instead of **C**.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Question 16

Performance on this exercise was extremely good across the ability range and candidates seem to be very much aware of the requirements of this exercise. There were only a few examples of candidates ticking more than six boxes or four boxes only. Candidates are reminded, however, not to attempt to put a tick and a cross in all boxes. Six of the twelve boxes need to be left blank.

In this exercise, the candidates heard four young people talking about their life, either in the countryside or in the town. Many candidates gained high marks on this exercise, with the majority scoring 5 or 6 marks. There was no discernible pattern for the incorrect answers.

Exercise 2 Questions 17–25

In this exercise candidates heard an interview with Léa who lives in Dubaï.

In the first part of the interview, candidates were required to correct an incorrect detail in each of five statements, which is a question type with which they were clearly familiar. The missing words were all items which appear in the vocabulary lists of the Defined Content. The exercise represented a step up in the incline of difficulty of the test and the extract heard was longer than the one heard in the previous exercise.

Some candidates were not able to score the mark in **Question 17** as they omitted the word *année* from their answer. **Question 18** was generally correct but some candidates answered *appartement*. In **Question 19**, candidates usually scored the mark but spellings of *construit* varied a lot. In **Questions 20 and 21**, some candidates misspelt *mignonne* and *sec*.

In the second interview, candidates had to respond in French with no more than two words to four questions. Only a few candidates were unable to provide an answer. In **Question 22**, many candidates invalidated their answer by writing *fraise*, instead of *frais*. A significant majority answered **Question 23** correctly and many

were able to score the mark in **Question 24**. Some did not score here, as they omitted the *pas* from their answer. In **Question 25**, many candidates scored the mark but some wrote *online* instead of *en ligne* or *chanter* instead of *chatter*.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 26–31

Candidates heard an interview with Isabelle, speaking about her holidays and the question type used was multiple choice. Questions tested not just specific factual information but also gist understanding over the longer extract. Candidates also needed to identify attitudes and emotions in some questions and be able to understand a narrative which, in places, depended upon them understanding a sequence of events. Candidates rarely omitted answers to these questions.

There was no discernible pattern of incorrect answers in this exercise, except in **Question 26** where candidates chose option **B** instead of the correct option **C**. **Questions 29** and **30** were answered correctly by a large number of candidates, but only a few candidates scored a mark in **Question 31**.

Exercise 2 Questions 32–40

This was an appropriately challenging exercise at this stage of the paper. Very few candidates left all the questions blank and were usually able to score some marks. Candidates heard an interview with Alain, a champion ice-skater. There was a good mixture of challenging and more accessible questions on this last exercise and most candidates scored marks, with some questions only being successfully answered by the most able.

The questions were designed to make short responses possible and candidates generally seemed aware of this. Candidates should be reminded not to write long answers as sometimes they add extra detail not on the recording which can distort and invalidate an otherwise correct answer.

In **Question 32**, many candidates responded with a correct part and tense of the verb *tomber*, but there were also many references to Alain's 10th birthday and/or finding the activity easy which invalidated a correct answer. **Question 33** was answered correctly by a large number of candidates but in **Question 34** candidates did not score as they omitted the word *section* from their answer or wrote *session* instead.

In **Question 35**, *trop* was often missing and this was required for the candidates to be awarded the mark. **Question 36** was answered well by the more able candidates. In **Question 37**, there was a variety of answers and, on occasion, the omission of *plus* meant that no mark could be scored here. Some candidates offered *plus avancés* which referred to Alain and not the other competitors, and a reference to the other competitors was required by the question.

There were many correct answers in **Question 38** but in **Question 39**, the inclusion of *glasse* with the correct answer *spectacle* or the inclusion of *spectaculaire* with the correct spelling of *glace* invalidated the answer. In **Question 40**, many candidates had understood *éviter* in the question and gained the mark by simply answering *regret(s)*. Candidates who did not score the mark here often included references to *sportif*, *émissions* and *télé* or omitted this question.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/13 Listening</p>
--

Key messages

- Candidates were usually well aware of the requirements of the examination.
- As intended, there was a gradient of difficulty on the paper and the final section was the most difficult but even weaker candidates usually scored some marks on this section.
- There were cases of candidates whose answers were not clear due to them appearing to overwrite their first attempts to answer, which was often very difficult to read. Centres need to remind candidates to write very clearly in blue or black pen. Candidates should cross out very clearly any material which they do not wish the Examiner to consider.
- Most candidates wrote as briefly as possible. Full sentences are not required in responses and candidates should be aware that if answers are long, there is the danger that extra distorting details may be included which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer.
- Answers were marked on the basis of communication and comprehension.
- Some candidates need to be more aware concerning ticking the correct number of boxes in objective exercises.

General comments

The candidature overall performed well on the first two sections of the paper. Nearly all candidates went on to attempt the final section with even the weakest candidates usually able to be successful on some questions in this section. There was a full range of performance on the paper with many candidates scoring well on it. The exercises discriminated appropriately across the gradient of difficulty in the paper. It was also evident that the examined topics and contexts were very accessible to all candidates.

Most candidates were familiar with the rubrics and well aware of the requirements of the test types. Some candidates remain unsure as to the correct number of boxes to be ticked on multiple-choice exercises and, in particular, on **Question 16**. There were cases of candidates ticking four or eight boxes rather than six boxes. On other multiple-choice questions, one box only should be ticked. A few candidates tried to use a system of both ticks and crosses. Either is acceptable but not both used together. If candidates need to take notes during their listening time, they should be advised not to write these notes in the space intended for the answer.

The French extracts heard by candidates gradually increased in terms of length and density and featured both monologues and conversations. The emphasis of the questions moved from targeting the candidates' ability to pick out information contained in short factual pieces, to testing their ability to understand specific factual information, as well as opinions and explanations, in longer narrated accounts and conversations. Longer extracts featured a variety of register and references to both past and future events. Vocabulary which was tested in the first two sections of the test was drawn from the vocabulary as set out in the Defined Content.

Candidates usually appreciated the need to write as briefly and clearly as possible and understood that full sentences were not required in response. Brief answers are preferable on this paper as candidates do not run the risk of extra distorting material being added which may invalidate an otherwise correct answer. Candidates also seemed aware of the need not to answer or infer from general knowledge. Candidates should not try to include extra material not on the recording and should not try to paraphrase answers. They should aim to write precisely what they hear and keep the question in mind when writing their answer.

There were many cases of poor handwriting which sometimes made it very difficult to read answers. Centres must stress to candidates the need to write clearly and not to use pencil to make a first attempt and then overwrite this in pen. Please remind all candidates that, if they wish to make a second attempt at an answer,

they should cross out their first attempt very clearly. This is particularly important on multiple-choice questions. If Examiners see alternative answers the mark is not awarded. Likewise, letters should be clearly written as poorly shaped letters may be seen as unacceptable spellings of answers. Candidates should always be encouraged to attempt every question.

The Listening paper tests comprehension. Accuracy in written responses in French is not an issue provided that the message is clear. If the answer sounds and reads like French it will be accepted provided that the message is unambiguous. If however a word offered means something else in French then it will not be accepted as it may introduce a distorting concept. Candidates should not offer a choice of answers to the Examiner.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–8

This first exercise tested the understanding of eight short conversations/monologues through multiple-choice questions with visual options. Candidates usually performed well on this opening exercise which is intended to give them a confident start. The vocabulary areas tested directions, places, tourist information, food and clothing. In **Question 5**, half the candidates did not recognise *coq au vin*.

Exercise 2 Questions 9–15

Candidates heard a longer extract which featured information about a camp site. Questions tested a month, places, accommodation details, kitchen equipment, leisure activities and animals. On **Question 9**, candidates had to write *juin* but some instead attempted to write how long they could stay. On **Question 10**, many candidates identified *lac*. On **Question 11**, candidates recognised *caravane* and, on **Question 12**, over half the candidates were able to identify *four à micro-onde*. The last three questions in the exercise were well done.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Question 16

Performance on this exercise was good and continues to improve as many candidates become accustomed to the exercise type and its requirements. There were however cases of candidates ticking more than six boxes or four boxes only. Candidates must be reminded to use a consistent method to indicate their answers: ticks or crosses are both acceptable but are likely to cause confusion when used together. They should not attempt to put a tick and a cross in all boxes. Six of the twelve boxes need to be left blank.

Candidates heard four young people talking about leisure interests and this was an accessible topic. Candidates generally coped well with the four extracts heard. There was no particular pattern evident in ticks incorrectly placed. Many candidates scored at least 4 marks on this exercise.

Exercise 2 Questions 17–25

In this exercise, candidates heard two interviews in which Mathilde talked about her home life and her studies in Gabon. In the first interview, candidates were required to correct an incorrect detail in each of five statements, a question type with which they were clearly familiar. The missing words were all items which appear in the vocabulary lists of the Defined Content. The exercise represented a step up in the incline of difficulty of the test and the extract heard was longer than the one heard in the previous exercise.

In **Question 17**, many candidates misheard *port* and wrote *bord*. Those who wrote *porte* did not gain the mark as it meant something else in French. Very good attempts were made on **Questions 18 and 19** with most candidates correctly identifying and giving an acceptable spelling for *gentille* and *étudier*. The last two questions on this part of the exercise were attempted less well. Some candidates wrote *vélo* or *pied* on **Question 20** and others heard *car* but then wrote *voiture*. Just under half the candidature was able to identify *froid* successfully on **Question 21**. Incorrect attempts often featured the verb *marcher*.

Candidates heard a second interview with Mathilde about studies. On **Question 22**, many candidates were able to identify *maths* but, on **Question 23**, only about half the candidature was able to identify and give an acceptable spelling of *étranger*. Good numbers scored the mark and were able to identify *se marier* on **Question 24**. On **Question 25**, candidates needed to identify that Mathilde did not have to share her bedroom at present. About half the candidates were successful here and were able to give an acceptable spelling of the two key elements *partager* and *chambre*.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 26–31

Candidates heard an interview with Danièle who talked about her school orchestra trip to South Africa. Candidates made quite a good attempt overall at this exercise. Even the weaker candidates were able to score some marks on this exercise. This was a suitably demanding exercise for this stage of the examination. A full range of marks was evident with a fair number scoring 3 or more marks. Questions tested not just specific factual information but also gist understanding over the longer extract. Candidates needed to identify attitudes and emotions in some questions and be able to understand a narrative which, in places, depended upon them understanding a sequence of events.

On **Question 26**, careful listening was required as some candidates chose option **B** rather than **C**. Candidates did a little better on **Questions 27** and **28**. Many candidates were successful on the last three questions.

Exercise 2 Questions 32–40

Many candidates made commendable efforts to answer at least a few questions and were usually able to score a few marks, but some candidates did not attempt answers to these last questions. This exercise was an appropriately challenging exercise at this stage of the question paper but some candidates did not identify and produce key high frequency vocabulary.

Candidates heard an interview with Robert, who talked about how he had become a mountaineer. There was a mix of harder and more accessible questions on this last exercise. Most candidates scored some marks with some questions only being successfully answered by, as intended, the most able. The questions were designed to make short responses possible and only a few candidates seemed unaware of this. Candidates who added extra details which are not on the recording distorted and invalidated an otherwise correct answer.

A fair number of candidates made a good start on **Question 32** and were able to identify that Robert had started climbing with a friend of his father. **Question 33** was answered well by only the very best candidates. Many did not produce an acceptable spelling of *formation* and attempted instead to write *information* which invalidated many answers. Others were unfamiliar with the commonly met vocabulary item *moniteur*. Better attempts were made on **Question 34** with just over half the candidates able to include the necessary negative concept together with an acceptable spelling of *enthousiastes*.

On **Question 35**, about half the candidates were able to identify *économies* and give an acceptable spelling of the word. Only the best candidates scored the mark for **Question 36**. Some found it difficult to spell the common verb *trouver* and others were unfamiliar with the word *sponsors* or wrote *sponseur*. Some answered that Robert had won competitions which was in material prior to the phrase being targeted and cued by the question. Such responses showed that candidates needed to listen to the whole utterance carefully as the correct answer was cued and linked very precisely. The answer to **Question 37** could be answered in numbers or in words. Just under half the candidates were successful in correctly identifying 1200 but answers often indicated incorrect numbers such as 1012 or 1216. Some wrote the correct number in full but then gave an incorrect numerical version alongside the written answer. This invalidated their first answer.

On **Question 38**, candidates heard *quand on a peur on fait très attention et comme ça on évite les erreurs*. Candidates then needed to complete the sentence stem provided on the question paper. Here, some candidates were unfamiliar with the meaning of the verb *éviter*. Others sometimes could not make a successful attempt at writing *erreurs* and wrote *horreur* or *heure* which invalidated their answer. **Question 39** was slightly better attempted. The question was very straightforward, asking where Robert sleeps when in the mountains. This should have signalled that a place was required but many here wrote *tante* instead of *tente* and therefore did not score the mark. Good numbers went on to be successful on **Question 40** and were able to identify that the experience of getting to the summit of a mountain was *la meilleure du monde*. A few wrote *mode* instead of *monde* but over half the candidates ended their listening examination well and were able to give an acceptable spelling of *meilleure*.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/21 Reading</p>

Key messages

To maximise their chances of success on this paper, candidates should:

- only select the information from the text that answers the question, in particular in the last two exercises
- ensure that their answers grammatically answer the question in **Section 3**
- remember that questions follow the order of the text.

General comments

Candidates had sufficient time to complete the paper and almost all candidates were appropriately entered for the examination. There were few instances of candidates leaving more than a couple of questions blank.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–5

This exercise was accessible to almost all candidates, with no discernible pattern of incorrect answers.

Exercise 2 Questions 6–10

Many candidates scored full marks on this exercise, but some candidates chose option **E** on **Question 9**, instead of **A**.

Exercise 3 Questions 11–15

This exercise was accessible for candidates. In **Question 12**, option **C** was often chosen instead of **A** and incorrect answers for **Question 13** were split between the two distractors.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Questions 16–20

For this exercise, candidates completed statements in French, choosing words from a list. A minority of candidates appeared to make use only of perceived meaning of the option words and the text, and did not use the grammatical markers in the sentences to assist with narrowing down their options. *Modernes* was a very common distractor for **Question 16**, being chosen almost as frequently as the correct answer, as was *recommandées* for **Question 19**. **Questions 18** and **20** were answered well, although the designated distractors were still a temptation.

Exercise 2 Questions 21–30

For this exercise, candidates were required to read a more extended text in which Clara wrote about her school skiing trip. The text was mostly straightforward and the vocabulary covered familiar topics. Most candidates were able to cope with the demands of the task. Long answers are not required, and often only a few words would answer the question, but some candidates chose to copy a couple of sentences from the text for each question. For this exercise, extraneous material and incorrect tenses are often ignored as long as they do not in some way invalidate the candidate's correct response.

In **Question 23**, some candidates added *autocar* to their answer, which referred to a different part of Clara's journey, and therefore invalidated the correct answer *train*. For **Question 25**, many candidates incorrectly answered 5, adding the three hours of untaught skiing to the two hours of lessons in the morning. For **Question 28**, some candidates did not recognise the question word and thought they needed to state what was eaten, rather than where. The omission of *beaucoup* lost some candidates the mark in **Question 29**, but **Question 30** was straightforward for almost all candidates.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 31–35

In **Section 3**, Examiners are expecting a higher level of attention to detail, and for candidates to be more selective of the information they take from the texts. In this exercise, candidates should not merely write the opposite of the statement but need to look for the alternative information. Candidates cannot be credited with a justification if they have ticked *vrai* for the statement, so there is no benefit in writing a justification for every response.

Many candidates scored less well on this exercise than usual. In **Question 31**, candidates did not distinguish between having no money at all and not having very much money. Less than half of the candidates correctly ticked false and corrected the statement.

In terms of the justifications, there was a mixed response from candidates. Most candidates answered **Question 32** correctly, but many candidates did not realise that Matthieu was not actually mentioned in the statement for **Question 34**, meaning that they needed to be careful as to who *ses* was referring to in their answer.

Exercise 2 Questions 36–42

This final exercise was intended to be the most challenging part of the question paper. Candidates often lost marks by writing too much and including information that did not answer the question. Other candidates targeted the wrong information. Only a few candidates scored full marks on this exercise.

Questions 36 and **37** were relatively accessible. For **Question 38**, a significant number of candidates wrote answers that essentially paraphrased the question rather than realising that it was the talking with customers that was needed. With **Question 40**, many candidates showed that they had not fully understood what they were writing, putting *trois semaines de formation*. In **Question 42**, the old people were not mentioned in the question, therefore it was not sufficient to copy the sentence from the text without stating who *leurs* was referring to.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/22 Reading</p>

Key messages

To maximise their chances of success on this paper, candidates should:

- keep their answers brief and focused, particularly in **Section 3**
- make sure that they read the questions carefully
- check the accuracy of their spelling, especially with words used in the text/question.

General comments

The paper was accessible to all the candidates. The vast majority attempted all sections and exercises.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–5

Most candidates scored full marks on this exercise. A few chose option **A** for **Question 1**, instead of **B**.

Exercise 2 Questions 6–10

This exercise was also very successful and the vast majority of candidates scored full marks. Those who did not often chose option **B** for **Question 6**, instead of **C**.

Exercise 3 Questions 11–15

This multiple-choice exercise based on a short text was very successful and the vast majority of candidates scored full marks. Some only scored 4 marks as they chose **C** instead of **A** for **Question 15**.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Questions 16–20

For this exercise, candidates completed statements in French, choosing words from a list. Most candidates scored 5 marks. The most common errors were *sauf* for **Question 16** and *grande* for **Question 17**.

Exercise 2 Questions 21–30

For this exercise, candidates were required to read a more extended text in the form of an email from Sophia. The text was mostly straightforward and the vocabulary covered familiar topics. Most candidates were able to cope with the demands of the task. Long answers are not required, and often only a few words would answer the question, but some candidates chose to copy a couple of sentences from the text for each question. For this exercise, extraneous material and incorrect tenses are often ignored as long as they do not in some way invalidate the candidate's correct response. Some candidates lost marks as they chose to paraphrase some of their answers and selected vocabulary that was not close enough to the original idea.

The majority of candidates scored at least 8 marks out of 10. For **Question 25**, candidates who answered *toute la nuit* instead of *toute la soirée* could not score the mark. For **Question 26**, *matière préférée* was the expected answer. *Elle aime l'histoire* could not be rewarded as it did not convey the correct idea. The rest of the questions were usually correctly answered as candidates were able to successfully locate the relevant information in the text.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 31–35

In **Section 3**, Examiners are expecting a higher level of attention to detail, and for candidates to be more selective of the information they take from the texts. In this exercise, candidates should not merely write the opposite of the statement but need to look for the alternative information. Candidates cannot be credited with a justification if they have ticked *vrai* for the statement, so there is no benefit in writing a justification for every response.

Questions 31 and **35** were the most often incorrectly identified as true or false. For **Question 31**, the expected answer was *les agriculteurs de la région ont organisé la compétition*. Candidates who answered *le directeur du centre a annoncé la compétition* also scored the mark. For **Question 32**, many candidates lost the mark because they used the incorrect tense *sa grand-mère est irlandaise* or because they retained the *qui* from the text. For **Question 34**, both *il l'avait fait tant de fois chez lui* and *il avait souvent fait son plat chez lui* were rewarded.

Exercise 2 Questions 36–41

This final exercise was, as intended, the most demanding part of the question paper. Even though many candidates found this exercise rather challenging, they managed to score a few marks. Many were able to locate the correct part of the text but were not selective enough when choosing what was a relevant response to the question or they were unable to manipulate the language to give an acceptable answer. Lengthy responses did not score the marks as they did not show genuine comprehension. Candidates need to read the questions carefully and ensure that they use the correct tense to answer the questions. It is also essential that they copy accurately words which have been given in the text or the question.

For **Question 36**, the use of *voir* was essential to convey the correct message. **Question 37** was a challenge for many candidates. A verb in the present tense was expected and candidates who copied *de s'occuper des tâches de tous les jours* lost the mark as they had not answered the question effectively. **Questions 38** and **39** were very successful but, in **Question 40**, many candidates could not score the marks available as they misspelt *vieilles* or missed out *pour chiens*. **Question 41** was a challenge for most candidates. Whilst many successfully mentioned *besoin d'amour et d'amitié*, very few provided a correct verb. Many just copied *les chiens ayant besoin* or missed out *ont* in *ils ont besoin*.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/23 Reading</p>
--

Key messages

In order to maximise their chances of success on this paper candidates should:

- read all rubrics and questions very carefully
- make any alterations to a chosen answer clear and unambiguous
- avoid adding invalidating extra information in **Section 3**
- take time to check all their answers.

General comments

This paper presented candidates with an appropriate challenge of their knowledge and skills at this level. The majority of candidates completed all sections of the paper with few answers left blank, showing a confident understanding of the task types.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Exercise 1 Questions 1–5

This exercise was accessible to almost all candidates.

Exercise 2 Questions 6–10

Many candidates scored full marks on this exercise but, in **Question 6**, some candidates chose option **D** instead of **F**.

Exercise 3 Questions 11–15

Candidates were confident and generally successful with this exercise, showing good understanding of the text and the multiple-choice questions. In **Question 15**, candidates sometimes chose option **A** instead of **C**.

Section 2

Exercise 1 Questions 16–20

For this exercise, candidates completed statements in French, choosing words from a list. Generally, this exercise was completed very well. The choice of *entrée* and *interdit* were tempting distractors for **Questions 17** and **20**.

Exercise 2 Questions 21–30

For this exercise, candidates were required to read a more extended text in which Charlotte talked about her move to a new school. The text was mostly straightforward and the vocabulary covered familiar topics. Most candidates were able to cope with the demands of the task. Long answers are not required, and often only a few words would answer the question, but some candidates chose to copy a couple of sentences from the text for each question. For this exercise, extraneous material and incorrect tenses are often ignored as long as they do not in some way invalidate the candidate's correct response.

Section 3

Exercise 1 Questions 31–35

In **Section 3**, Examiners are expecting a higher level of attention to detail, and for candidates to be more selective of the information they take from the texts. In this exercise, candidates should not merely write the opposite of the statement but need to look for the alternative information. Candidates cannot be credited with a justification if they have ticked *vrai* for the statement, so there is no benefit in writing a justification for every response.

Many candidates were successful in identifying the true and false statements. Candidates should make sure that at least one of the two boxes is ticked for each question. If they decide to change their answer, this needs to be indicated clearly and unambiguously.

Candidates who wrote long answers sometimes added invalidating extra material. This was often the case in **Questions 31** and **34**. **Question 32** was the most successfully answered.

Exercise 2 Questions 36–42

This final exercise was intended to be the most challenging part of the question paper. Candidates often lost marks by writing too much and including information that did not answer the question. Other candidates targeted the wrong information. For some answers, a small manipulation of the language was required but in many cases a careful lifting of information from the text showed comprehension.

For **Question 36**, common incorrect answers were *filles de fermiers* and *vétérinaires*. Many candidates answered **Questions 37** and **40** correctly but did not score the marks in **Questions 38** and **39** as some manipulation of the language was necessary to give the answers. For **Question 41**, candidates often added too much information which invalidated their answer. **Question 42** only required a selected lift from the text.

FRENCH

<p>Paper 0520/03 Speaking</p>

Key messages

- A very full range of performance was heard.
- Candidates usually attempted the role plays well.
- Centres must adhere to the cues in the role play tasks and must not change them or add extra tasks.
- Centres need to observe the stipulated timings of 5 minutes for **each** of the two conversations.
- It is essential to include questions to elicit past and future tenses in **both** the Topic conversation and the General conversation sections. Correct examples of past and future tenses are required for a mark of more than 6 to be awarded for Language in both conversation sections.
- Occasionally, Examiners needed to give candidates more opportunities to respond to spontaneous questions which encouraged candidates to explain and develop their answers in a natural way.
- Centres should aim to cover just two or three topics in the General conversation with each candidate as in some centres too many topics were covered too superficially.

General comments

Centres were usually well aware that there were three sections to the test.

In the role plays, Examiners usually followed the cues well, prompting where necessary and encouraging candidates to work for the marks. Examiners who did not follow the scripted cues and who changed the tasks sometimes made this section of the test harder for the candidates. If there are two parts to a task then Examiners are free to split the task, but if only one part of a task is completed by the candidate, the maximum mark which can be awarded is 1. If a candidate uses a verb to complete a task and makes an error of tense or conjugation, a mark of 2 and not 3 is appropriate. Poor pronunciation should always be queried as there were cases where pronunciation prevented clear communication of the set task. If the pronunciation of a key element is not clear, the communication of the message becomes distorted and a mark of 3 is **not** appropriate. Overlong answers are not to be encouraged as marks are only awarded for the set tasks. Indeed, if candidates add material extra to the set task it may distort meaning and detract from an otherwise correct answer.

If the Topic conversation and the General conversation sections are too short, candidates are not given the opportunity to develop their ideas and opinions nor the opportunity to use a range of structures and tenses. It is in the interest of fairness to all candidates to make sure that each candidate is given the full examination time of 5 minutes for **each** of their two conversation sections. Conversations which are too long can also disadvantage candidates. Examples of past and future tenses can only be credited within the stipulated timings of the test.

In the conversation sections, Examiners who included unexpected questions in a spontaneous, natural way went beyond the straightforward “closed” questions and gave their candidates the possibility of scoring in the Good band or above on Table B, Communication. It is essential to include questions which will elicit past and future tenses in both conversation sections as candidates need to show they can use both of these tenses accurately for a mark of more than 6 to be awarded on Table C, Language.

There should be a clear transition between the Topic conversation and the General conversation and the links between the different parts of the test should always be in French.

Impression marks were usually awarded fairly in centres but were sometimes a little generous when pronunciation was not good and impeded successful communication.

Comments on specific questions

A Role Plays

Many candidates performed well on the A Role Plays. The role plays were set using vocabulary from Topic areas A, B and C of the Defined Content.

On all the Role Play A situations, there is always one task which requires candidates to listen and choose from the two options offered by the Examiner. It is important that candidates listen carefully and choose one of the two scripted options.

Phoning a theatre

This role play was done well by candidates. Nearly all gave an appropriate greeting but, on **Task 1**, some mispronounced *spectacle* or *places*. On **Task 2**, most were able to choose an option but some Examiners did not give the correct cue here. **Task 3** was done well but some candidates did not give a precise date and just gave a day such as *mardi*, which was not specific enough for 3 marks. Provided that a specific day was stated, such as *mardi prochain*, candidates gained the full marks available. **Task 4** was done well with nearly all candidates able to state a clear number of *places* they required. Candidates were generally well prepared to phrase an appropriate question on **Task 5** with most asking about parking or the price.

Phoning a youth hostel

On **Task 1**, most candidates were able to state clearly that they wanted to make a reservation although some mispronounced *réservation*. **Task 2** was also approached confidently with nearly all candidates able to state a clear number of people. On **Task 3**, candidates were expected to give their age. Any age was accepted but many made errors of verbs such as *je suis 16 ans* or did not say *ans*. **Task 4** required candidates to listen to the two options and choose one. Those who opted for *au restaurant en ville* sometimes mispronounced *ville* as *vie*. Most candidates approached **Task 5** well. Some chose to ask about internet access but were not always able to pronounce *accès* well.

In a sports shop

Candidates approached this role play confidently. On **Task 1**, some found the pronunciation of *à dos* difficult. Nearly all were able to choose a correct option well on **Task 2**. **Task 3** required candidates to state they liked the bag rather than give an opinion about it. Some candidates made errors when conjugating the verb *aimer* here. Most candidates asked for *une carte* well in **Task 4** but full marks were often mistakenly awarded by Examiners for mispronunciations such as *une car*. **Task 5**, which required candidates to ask the price, was usually well done but some candidates just asked *Combien de prix ?* which was not the most appropriate language.

B Role Plays

The Role Play B situations were deliberately more demanding and required the ability to use different tenses, to explain, and to give and justify opinions. They differentiated well, but even the weakest candidates could usually score a mark on some tasks when the Examiner kept closely to the script and prompted if necessary.

There is always one task in which candidates have to listen to the Examiner and reply to an unprepared question and one task which requires candidates to react in some way. Candidates should be prepared to give a positive or negative opinion about something. Role Play B situations also require the candidate to ask one appropriate question (*Posez une question... or Demandez...*).

Talking about a holiday job

On **Task 1**, candidates were not always able to reformulate the rubric. Some just stated they had a job but did not give the full reason for calling their friend. In **Task 2**, candidates needed to respond to the unexpected question which featured the cue *Depuis combien de temps travailles-tu au restaurant ?* Some responded *pour une semaine* but the use of *pour* distorted the time frame as it implied future time. **Task 3** was better attempted with most candidates being able to give a positive opinion about the work and explain why they liked it. To score full marks on **Task 4**, candidates needed to give two details about how they were going to spend their earnings. Many candidates were able to use a future time frame here. In **Task 5**, in order to fulfil the task and gain 3 marks, an appropriate question needed to be asked and a correct interrogative adverb used. Some candidates used incorrect or incomplete interrogative forms.

Talking about a birthday

Many candidates made a good start in **Task 1** but some did not reformulate the rubric well and confused the possessive adjective, using *votre anniversaire* instead of *mon anniversaire*. **Task 2** required candidates to give two details about what they had done on their birthday. Many were able to communicate that they had eaten out, been to the cinema or celebrated at home. Some candidates made errors when conjugating verbs in the perfect tense. The unexpected question on **Task 3** was well attempted by more able candidates but weaker candidates often needed the cue to be repeated and were not always sure what had been asked. Sympathetic examining and prompting usually enabled such candidates to score 1 or 2 marks here. **Task 4** was approached well with many candidates able to say with whom they preferred spending their birthday and giving an appropriate reason why. On **Task 5**, candidates made some good attempts at asking Alex if they could visit him/her next year. Weaker candidates however were challenged by trying to use a correct pronoun and word order. Some candidates also used the verb *visiter* rather than *rendre visite*. In such cases, the message was communicated but the language used was not the most appropriate.

Arriving at the airport without a suitcase

Candidates generally attempted **Task 1** well and were able to say that their suitcase had not arrived. On **Task 2**, candidates had to say which town they had travelled from and at what time they had arrived in Paris. Some candidates left out their town/city of departure or the time they had arrived. Others sometimes found it hard to conjugate *partir* and *arriver* correctly in the perfect tense. Better attempts were made at describing the suitcase on **Task 3** with most candidates gaining 2 or 3 marks here. On **Task 4**, candidates needed to express their displeasure to complete the task successfully. Some said they were disappointed, sad or annoyed, which is a different notion. Most were able to say why they needed the suitcase saying, for example, that their laptop or money was in it. Some candidates said the suitcase was a present or expensive. These were all taken as valid reasons. **Task 5** required a correct question to be formulated about the possibility of having the suitcase the next day. Some candidates just said *je voudrais ma valise demain*, which only counted as partial completion of the task as this was not a question.

Topic presentation and conversation

Nearly all centres conducted a Topic conversation after the Topic presentation but the timings were sometimes incorrect. The Topic presentation should last between 1 to 2 minutes and the remaining time of 3 to 4 minutes should be spent discussing the topic. Sometimes, the questions in the Topic conversation repeated material already heard in the presentation or did not always stretch candidates to develop their ideas in a spontaneous way. The best candidates showed that they could talk about their material in a natural way and were able to give explanations and support their opinions.

When candidates did not include past and future tenses in their presentation or could only respond to questions in the present tense, the Language mark was limited to 6.

Generally, Topic presentation times were well adhered to by candidates and many had clearly prepared their topic very thoroughly and learnt appropriate vocabulary and expressions. Candidates usually presented their material in a lively way and at an appropriate speed. The most successful topics were ones in which candidates had a clear personal interest which enabled them to give opinions and not just factual detail. Centres usually had a good range of individual topics.

Most candidates spoke clearly but some occasionally rushed their presentation and often mispronounced their material which prevented them from communicating clearly. The best candidates were able to sustain the communication and level of language after the initial presentation and managed to converse at the same level heard in the presentation but, for many, this often proved more difficult. A marked increase was seen this year in the number of candidates talking about the environment. Other popular topics were festivals, healthy lifestyle, holidays, home life and routine, school, pastimes, future plans and life in another country.

Questions which require precise geographical or statistical knowledge when discussing life in another country should be avoided. It is better instead to ask candidates what they find most interesting or what they like/dislike about their country/another country and why. The best performances were those which developed into a natural conversation and in which candidates could express not just factual information in response to straightforward questions but also develop and explain their opinions about the topic.

It always helps candidates when the end of this section of the test is indicated with a phrase such as *Maintenant, on passe à la conversation générale*.

General conversation

Timings were usually correct for this part of the test but there were instances of General conversation which were too long or too short. Correct timings mean that candidates are given the opportunity to develop ideas and show they can use a range of linguistic features. Correct use of past and future tenses can only be awarded within the stipulated timing. Generally, this section of the test was conducted well and Examiners encouraged candidates to show what they knew and could do.

Centres usually covered an appropriate range of topics but some centres covered too many topics too superficially with a string of unconnected questions. This did not give candidates the chance to go into depth on a topic. It was also confusing for candidates to have to switch from topic to topic. Only **two or three topics** should be covered in this section of the test. It is also essential to include questions in different tenses as candidates must produce accurately conjugated examples of past and future tenses to be able to gain a mark of more than 6 for Language.

It is important to cover different topics with different candidates and, if using the same topic with different candidates, to try to use different questions. Questions which are very straightforward, and which require simple short responses or completions, will not give candidates access to the upper mark bands for both Communication and Language. Candidates who could give and explain opinions and respond to **unexpected** questions gained high marks.

Generally, the level of performance was good across the candidature with some outstanding performances. Candidates of all abilities were encouraged to do their best. Many candidates showed they could communicate well on familiar topics such as shopping, technology, holidays, life at home, school, future plans, healthy lifestyle, leisure activities, their town, pollution and the environment. Some candidates were asked questions on their daily routine or a friend but these topics were not always fully exploited in terms of a range of tense coverage.

Work heard illustrating standards at the lower end of the marking bands for Language showed some manipulation of structures and some awareness of verbs and a limited vocabulary. Work illustrating the performance in the middle bands showed the ability to produce some accurate examples in past and future tenses and usage of key Defined Content vocabulary together with some key adverbs of time and common adjectives. The more able candidates could go beyond speaking in the first person and conjugate verbs with different subjects with greater control. At the top end of performance, candidates communicated ideas with ease and made consistent accurate use of appropriate tenses, adverbs, conjunctions and a range of appropriate vocabulary. Structures such as *avant de* plus infinitive, perfect infinitives and *si* plus imperfect followed by conditional tenses were heard more frequently this year, as were correct and appropriate examples of *depuis* plus an imperfect or present tense together, and also *quand* plus a future tense.

Many candidates reflected that they had enjoyed their experience of studying and communicating in a foreign language.

FRENCH

Paper 0520/41
Writing

Key messages

- In **Question 2**, candidates must address all the tasks: the final task always requires a change of tense.
- The recommended word count for both **Question 2** and **Question 3** is not mandatory. Candidates should not feel obliged to remove significant detail to meet the word count.
- Candidates should respond to each task in **Question 3** in the tense indicated.
- Candidates aiming for the highest grades should make sure that they check their work carefully for basic errors.
- In **Question 3**, in order to access the top bands for Other linguistic features, candidates must demonstrate that they can use the complex structures which are detailed in the specification.
- Candidates should always aim for a high standard of legibility and presentation.
- When candidates write in pen over an initial draft in pencil their work is often difficult to read.

General comments

The control of verb forms is not critical for success in **Question 2**. However, in **Question 3**, control of verbs is much more important and candidates must respond in the tense indicated in the task. Some candidates used the wrong tense in **Questions 3(a)** and **3(b)**.

This series, there appeared to be some improvement in the overall marks for verbs in **Question 3**: however, two particular spelling errors led to the loss of marks: *vister* for *visiter* and the conditional *j'aimerais* written as *j'amerais*.

The ablest candidates were able to demonstrate, among other things, varied sentence patterns using subordinate clauses (e.g. *quand, si, parce que, car, qui*), object pronouns, linking words/conjunctions (e.g. *donc, cependant*), strong negatives (*ne...jamais, ne...plus*), comparative/superlative forms of adjectives and adverbs, prepositions (e.g. *depuis, pendant, pour*) and were familiar with some less common vocabulary, pertinent to the subject matter.

It is important for all candidates to show control of basic structures, including correct spelling, gender, adjectival agreement, possessive adjectives, expressions of quantity and common prepositions. Without this they will not access the top most bands

The word count is a recommendation and not an absolute requirement. If candidates write over the word limit, they should not indiscriminately remove parts of their response. The act of editing a piece on completion is quite difficult, especially if there is little time available. Some candidates crossed out details which were vital for the successful completion of the task.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Question 1: Dans la rue

Most candidates were able to give five acceptable answers. Spellings were sometimes incorrect, especially with the common words *arbre, magasin, maison* and *restaurant*.

Some candidates wrote other items not featured in the pictures. The many additional answers which were credited are identified in the Mark Scheme.

Question 2: Les passe-temps

Communication

Candidates responded generally in great detail to each of the four tasks with many scoring 14 or 15 marks on this question.

In Task 1, candidates were able to convey some of the many things which they do at home in the evening, including school work, helping, relaxing and details about their routine. There were some comprehensive responses which earned a number of marks.

Task 2 provided another opportunity to gain several marks. The vast majority of candidates stated that they liked sport, giving examples of what they did and why they enjoyed them and/or considered them important. Usually, candidates were successful but there were a few incorrect spellings (e.g. *pratiquer*) or expressions (e.g. *jouer du sport* instead of *faire du sport*).

Candidates responded in quite extensive detail to **Task 3** explaining why they preferred spending time with friends or with family. Although *famille* was used in the question, it was frequently misspelt.

Task 4 invited candidates to state how they would relax the following weekend. Many candidates proposed more than one activity, thus gaining extra marks. The time frame used in the task was important. Candidates needed to use either a future verb form or a present tense verb with a future time frame. A statement such as *je lis un roman* did not qualify for a mark. There was no reward for any reasons offered.

Language

It is important that, in the final task, candidates respond using the tense used in the question. Very many gained full marks. Those who scored 4 marks often omitted to use accurately one of the acceptable forms of a future verb.

Section 2

Question 3 (a): Le travail et l'argent

There were some very good responses to this question. Candidates who used familiar language to produce a straightforward account generally did better than candidates who attempted to convey sophisticated ideas for which they did not have the necessary vocabulary and structure.

Communication

For **Task 1**, candidates needed to give a piece of information about a job they had done. Many candidates did not spell the common verb *travailler* correctly and wrote, for example, *j'ai travaillé*, *j'ai travallé* or *j'ai travail*.

Candidates needed to provide some precise information about how they spent the money they earned in **Task 2**. It was possible to convey the details using familiar vocabulary but some candidates used more complex structures, such as *j'ai utilisé l'argent pour acheter des vêtements*, *j'ai pu m'acheter un nouveau portable* or *j'ai décidé d'économiser l'argent*.

Task 1 and **Task 2** required candidates to provide information about past events. Those who wrote about what work they were planning to do and how they would spend the money, using the future tense, gained only 1 mark for these tasks.

Task 3 invited candidates to state an advantage of having a part-time/holiday job. Candidates provided varied and interesting ideas. As the wording of the task suggested, candidates were expected to give a general advantage. Those who mentioned a detail specific to their experience were only awarded 1 mark (e.g. *j'ai gagné beaucoup d'argent pendant les vacances*).

For **Task 4**, a disadvantage associated with a part-time/holiday job was required. As with the previous task, a general idea was expected.

In **Task 5**, candidates were asked to state what job they would like to do in the future and to provide a reason for their choice. One mark was available for identifying the type of work and one mark for the reason. A range of jobs was mentioned, such as *avocat*, *médecin* and *ingénieur*. However, many candidates could not spell *médecin* or *ingénieur*.

A small number of candidates thought that this task referred to some future part-time or holiday job: they were rewarded positively if the details were clearly conveyed, e.g. *pendant les prochaines vacances, je voudrais travailler à la plage parce que j'aime être en plein air*.

Some candidates misunderstood *métier* for *matière*. In such cases, it was not possible to award the first mark but the second mark was given if the reason was relevant and clear.

Verbs

Many candidates gained at least 6 out of 8 marks for Verbs. It was possible to answer this question using common verbs, such as *acheter*, *aller*, *être*, *faire*, *préparer*, *travailler*.

Other linguistic features

There was some inconsistent control of basic language which limited the marks for Other linguistic features for some candidates, e.g. *pendant le vancance*, *dans une café*, *un travaille difficile*, *une avantage*, *beaucoup de l'argent*.

Idiomatic use of grammar (such as knowing when to use *avoir* rather than *être*, using the correct object pronouns or using conjunctions and relative pronouns) is a key element of higher marks in this category.

Question 3 (b): Une visite chez mon ami(e)

This was a popular option. It was possible to respond to the five tasks using familiar vocabulary and structures.

Communication

Task 1 invited candidates to say when they visited their friend and for how long. It was possible to gain marks with a simple answer but some candidates used more complex language. Many candidates chose to give the two required details in separate clauses: an acceptable past tense verb was expected in each clause/sentence for the award of both marks, e.g. *Je suis allé chez mon ami la semaine dernière. J'y suis resté cinq jours*. Any time scale was acceptable provided that the reference was clearly to past events. Sometimes candidates did not think carefully enough about what they were writing. Although the grammar and spelling may have been correct, the meaning was unclear, e.g. *le week-end dernier, je suis allé chez mon ami, je suis resté un mois*.

Candidates should remember that word order can have an impact on meaning: *la semaine dernière* has a different meaning from *la dernière semaine*. This applies equally to expressions using *week-end*, *mois* and *année*.

For **Task 2**, any relevant detail about the visit in a past tense was acceptable. It was possible again to use familiar well-known vocabulary to convey a relevant detail. Candidates who did not respond to *ensemble* (e.g. *j'ai nagé dans la piscine*) were not rewarded. There were a few candidates who responded in the future tense, giving their plans for a forthcoming visit.

Task 3 asked candidates to say what they thought about the district where their friend lives. Candidates offered a wide range of details here.

Candidates had to explain whether they prefer their friend's house or their own in **Task 4**. One mark was awarded for the preference and one mark for the reason. The majority of candidates said they prefer their own home. Some appeared happier with their friend's house.

Task 5 was quite straightforward: candidates had to say what they will do when the friend comes to stay. Some candidates misunderstood this task, thinking that they should state what they would like to do on their next visit to their friend.

Verbs

Many candidates gained 8 marks for Verbs. Candidates could provide the required details and any relevant additional information using common verbs, such as *aimer, aller, faire, jouer, manger, préférer, regarder* and *visiter*. Some candidates did not use the right tense in some tasks or used an incorrect form of the perfect tense, e.g. absence of the auxiliary verb (*nous joué*), wrong auxiliary (*j'ai resté*), lack of agreement (*nous sommes allé*) or absence of the acute accent (*j'ai visite*).

Other linguistic features

Candidates who produced a variety of sentences using more complex structures and a range of vocabulary generally gained 7 or more marks for Other linguistic features. Idiomatic use of grammar (such as knowing when to use *avoir* rather than *être*, using the correct object pronouns or using conjunctions and relative pronouns) is a key element of higher marks in this category.

Question 3 (c): Une promenade sous la pluie

Only a small number of candidates chose this question which required a sustained use of past tenses. However, some candidates did not have sufficient control of perfect and imperfect tenses to produce a coherent answer.

Communication

Task 1 invited candidates to say where they were when the rain started. It was possible to gain marks with a simple answer, such as *j'étais au milieu de la forêt* or *j'étais loin de chez moi*.

For **Task 2**, candidates needed to explain what they did next. Again, candidates could gain marks with simple answers, such as *je suis entrée dans un café, j'ai attendu une demi-heure* or *j'ai ouvert mon parapluie*. Some candidates used more complex language and said *je me suis abrité sous un arbre*.

There were various ways of gaining the marks for **Task 3** which required details about how the candidate got home. Some gave details about how they reached home, whereas others suggested the state they were in when they arrived home.

For **Task 4**, candidates needed to say how they felt about the experience. Candidates could gain the marks for any personal response wherever they offered one. Some responded at the beginning of their account, when they realised the problem they faced, e.g. *je ne savais pas quoi faire*. Marks were largely gained for comments such as *j'étais content d'arriver à la maison* or *je n'ai pas apprécié ma promenade*.

For **Task 5**, the reaction of the parent(s) was required. Many candidates gained marks saying *ils étaient choqués, mon père était fâché* or *ma mère était contente de me voir*.

Verbs

There were some good responses in which candidates used less well-known verbs, such as *s'abriter* or *pédaler*. However, some candidates did not know the verbs needed to convey the ideas they wished to communicate.

Other linguistic features

Candidates who produced a variety of sentences using more complex structures and a range of vocabulary generally gained 7 or more marks for Other linguistic features. Idiomatic use of grammar (such as knowing when to use *avoir* rather than *être*, using the correct object pronouns or using conjunctions and relative pronouns) is a key element of higher marks in this category.

FRENCH

Paper 0520/42
Writing

Key messages

- Candidates should read the whole task carefully before starting to write their answers.
- Candidates are advised to highlight or underline key words in questions and sub-tasks.
- Candidates should ensure that they respond in the same time frame as used in the question.

General comments

Work from the full ability range was seen on this paper. The gradient of difficulty in the questions allowed the vast majority of candidates to show what they knew and could do.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Question 1: À la page

The vast majority of candidates scored 5 marks on this exercise.

The eight pictures only serve as a guide and candidates are free to use different items provided that they fit the context of the question. Most candidates successfully provided a mixture of places and objects. *Restaurant, magasin, serviette* and *bateau* were usually well known. Some candidates misspelt *arbre* or *lunettes (de soleil)*.

Question 2: Mon anniversaire

Communication

To ensure that they score the 10 marks available for Communication, candidates should check that they have addressed every task and sub-task, produce clear and concise answers which remain focused on the task and aim at writing at least two sentences per task.

In **Task 1**, most candidates could clearly give the date of their birthday, however some added *th* after the number (e.g. *15th juin*) or could not spell the month of their birthday accurately. Many candidates also gave the year (e.g. *20 juin 2003*) but this was also rewarded. Candidates did not need to write numbers in letters, a figure was perfectly acceptable.

Task 2 was very successful as candidates offered a variety of activities they usually do on their birthday. Going out with friends, eating in a restaurant or going to the cinema were popular activities. Those who described what they do and with whom at different times during the day scored many marks.

For **Task 3**, candidates had to say what type of present they like to receive. The vast majority opted for money so that they could buy what they really wanted. Even though the word *cadeaux* was provided in the question, many misspelt the word in their answer. Candidates who stated *je n'aime pas recevoir de cadeaux* could not score a mark as they had not fulfilled the task.

Task 4 was very successful as candidates could clearly explain with whom they preferred to spend their birthday. Many scored many communication marks as their answers were very detailed.

Task 5 required candidates to convey some notion of future time to express what they would like to do to celebrate their next birthday. Once again, candidates who listed all the different activities they'd like to do for their next birthday scored many marks.

Candidates need to provide as many details as they can for each task. Candidates who only provide one short sentence for each task are very unlikely to score 10 marks for Communication.

Language

The vast majority of candidates scored 4 or 5 for Language. They produced pieces of work which were coherent and showed that they could use relevant verbs and vocabulary with a fair degree of accuracy. To maximise their chances of scoring in the top bands for Language, candidates are advised to ensure that they use the time frames used in the tasks.

Section 2

The three options were equally popular. Candidates should read each option carefully before making their choice and take into consideration the vocabulary, verb tenses and structures which will be needed to respond effectively to the question. The vast majority of candidates kept to the recommended word count.

Communication

Question 3 (a): J'ai gagné une croisière en Méditerranée.

Many candidates scored 2 marks for **Task 1**. The question clearly stated *avant de partir*, however some candidates described what they did to prepare for the competition or described the competition itself.

Task 2 was very successful as candidates provided several activities they had done during the cruise. Playing different sports and eating in the restaurants were very common. Some candidates had not looked carefully enough at the tense used in the question and used the future tense to complete the task. These candidates could not score the 2 marks available as they had only partially fulfilled the task.

For **Task 3**, candidates had to make general comments about the advantages of boat trips. Some candidates could only score 1 mark as they gave the advantages of the cruise they had just done. Those who had used the correct time frame to answer the question often mentioned that boat trips are relaxing or that the scenery is beautiful.

Task 4 was not very successful as candidates described what they had done to win the competition. Very few were able to say that they like to win or that they like a challenge.

Task 5 required a verb in the conditional. Many candidates were able to adapt the verb in the question to successfully complete the task. Some candidates could not score any communication marks for this task as their inaccurate spelling of *gagner* distorted the message they were trying to convey.

Many candidates wrote good essays and the vast majority scored 7 marks or more for Communication.

Question 3 (b): Un achat sur Internet

Candidates were expected to provide two details about their purchase for **Task 1**. To score the 2 marks available, they had to say what they had bought and for whom. Most candidates provided the two details. Some candidates listed what they usually buy on the internet rather than what they had bought recently. The most popular items were a new mobile phone or clothes.

In **Task 2**, the problem they experienced had to be linked with the item they had ordered. Those who had chosen an item of clothing often mentioned that it was the wrong size or colour. Many candidates stated that the item did not arrive when it was expected. Many explained what they had to do to put things right.

Task 3 was very successful as candidates were able to say how internet helped them daily. Many explained that they need internet for their school work or to keep in touch with friends and family.

Candidates easily scored the 2 marks available for **Task 4** by simply saying *mes parents aiment/détestent internet*. Many also provided a reason why.

Task 5 was sometimes misunderstood as candidates listed what they would not be able to do rather than activities that they would do if they did not have internet. A verb in the conditional was required to score 2 marks. Many candidates said that, without internet, they would be much more active and much more sociable.

Question 3 (c): En retard pour l'école

Some of the candidates who chose this option did not always have the linguistic skills to manage the storyline.

For **Task 1**, candidates were expected to explain why they woke up late. A verb in the pluperfect was required for their explanation but if they used the perfect tense they could still be fully rewarded for communication. The main reasons given were watching television very late in the night or having to complete all their homework. Most candidates scored 2 marks.

Task 2 was also successful as many candidates were able to explain *j'ai vite pris une douche* or *j'ai mangé mon petit déjeuner très vite*. Some candidates did not use the correct auxiliary with reflexive verbs.

For **Task 3**, many candidates showed a lack of urgency as they just said *je suis allé(e) à ma classe*. For others, their arrival was much more dramatic as they met the head teacher and had to explain their lateness.

For **Task 4**, an action (e.g. *mon professeur a téléphoné à mes parents*) as well as an emotion (e.g. *mon professeur était furieux*) were rewarded.

Candidates often used the same adjective *furieux* to explain what their parents thought of the situation in **Task 5**. Despite this lack of variety in choice of vocabulary, the task was fully rewarded.

Verbs

To enhance their overall marks for Communication and Verbs, candidates must pay careful attention to the tense required by the task. Using a present tense when a past tense is required (or vice versa) incurs a double penalty as the sentence can only achieve partial communication and the verb cannot be rewarded.

The repetition of *avait*, *était* or *étaient* should be avoided as verbs can only be awarded the first time they are used. However, some candidates offered a wide variety of verbs in different forms throughout their essays.

Candidates should also pay attention to the verbs provided in the rubrics and questions to avoid unnecessary spelling errors.

In **Question 3(c)**, the opening sentence was in the perfect tense. Verbs in the past historic could not be credited.

Other linguistic features

To maximise their chances of achieving marks in the top three bands for Other linguistic features candidates need to check carefully what they have written. Particular care should be taken with the agreements of adjectives and past participles and the spelling and gender of common nouns. Essays must give evidence of complex structures, variety of relevant vocabulary and a fair degree of accuracy. The repetition of *parce que*, *car* or *je pense que* does not demonstrate the level of control and variety expected for the top bands.

There were frequent errors of spelling in common adjectives, adverbs and prepositions. Words such as *beaucoup*, *malheureusement*, *ennuyeux*, *intéressant* are widely used but regularly misspelt.

FRENCH

Paper 0520/43
Writing

Key messages

- In **Question 1**, candidates must be sure that they have understood the context of the task.
- In **Question 2**, it is important to address all the tasks and to remember that the final task always requires a change of tense.
- The recommended word count for both **Question 2** and **Question 3** is not mandatory; candidates should not feel obliged to remove significant detail to meet the word count.
- Candidates should respond to each task in **Question 3** in the tense indicated.
- Candidates aiming for the highest grades should make sure that they check their work carefully for basic errors.
- In **Question 3**, in order to access the top bands for Other linguistic features, candidates must demonstrate that they can use the complex structures which are detailed in the specification.
- Candidates should always aim for a high standard of legibility and presentation.
- When candidates write in pen over an initial draft in pencil their work is often difficult to read.

General comments

The control of verb forms is not critical for success in **Question 2**. However, in **Question 3**, control of verbs is much more important and candidates must respond in the tense indicated in the task. Some candidates used the wrong tense in **Questions 3(a)** and **3(b)**.

This series, there appeared to be some improvement in the overall marks for verbs in **Question 3**: however, two particular spelling errors led to the loss of marks: *vister* for *visiter* and the conditional *j'aimerais* written as *j'amerais*.

The ablest candidates were able to demonstrate, among other things, varied sentence patterns using subordinate clauses (e.g. *quand, si, parce que, car, qui*), object pronouns, linking words/conjunctions (e.g. *donc, cependant*), strong negatives (*ne...jamais, ne...plus*), comparative/superlative forms of adjectives and adverbs, prepositions (e.g. *depuis, pendant, pour*) and were familiar with some less common vocabulary, pertinent to the subject matter.

It is important for all candidates to show control of basic structures, including correct spelling, gender, adjectival agreement, possessive adjectives, expressions of quantity and common prepositions. Without this they will not access the top most bands.

The word count is a recommendation and not an absolute requirement. If candidates write over the word limit, they should not indiscriminately remove parts of their response. The act of editing a piece on completion is quite difficult, especially if there is little time available. Some candidates crossed out details which were vital for the successful completion of the task.

Comments on specific questions

Section 1

Question 1: À l'école

Most candidates were able to provide five acceptable answers. Spellings were sometimes insecure especially with regard to those subjects ending *-que* and *-ie*. Common errors included *dance*, *géography*,

histoire, mathématique, espagnol. Some candidates proposed subjects not featured in the pictures which were accepted. Those who knew *informatique* were rewarded, however those who wrote *ordinateur* were not.

It is important that candidates read the question carefully. There were a significant number of candidates who thought that the question invited the words for objects found in the classroom: they did not gain marks for this.

Question 2: La musique

Communication

Most candidates were able to convey their musical preference in **Task 1**. This mark was readily gained by those who used a simple statement such as *j'aime la musique rock*.

Some candidates misspelt the verb *écouter* in **Task 2** even though it appeared in the question. Spellings such as *j'écute* could not be accepted.

Task 3 invited information about favourite singer/musician. Most candidates succeeded in clearly identifying their favourite musician, giving a range of information using very common and familiar language.

There were a variety of reasons for liking the musician in answers to **Task 4**: the quality of their music, their skill, their attractive personality or their personal qualities.

Task 5 invited comments about plans for the future. Many were attracted to a career in music. Equally, there were many who explained that they did not have the talent or the interest and others who said that they had serious plans to follow quite a different career.

Language

The majority of candidates could express their ideas in coherent sentences and gained 4 or 5 marks. However, candidates must use the tense indicated in the final task, whether future or conditional, in order to gain 5 marks.

Section 2

Question 3 (a): Vous avez aidé votre grand-mère/grand-père.

Approximately 30% of candidates chose this question. There were some very good responses. Candidates who used the familiar language which they knew to produce a straightforward account generally did better than those who attempted to convey sophisticated ideas for which they did not have the necessary vocabulary and structure.

Communication

For **Task 1**, candidates needed to state when they helped their grandparent. Typical of the successful responses were simple ideas. Some offered more complex responses.

Candidates needed to provide some precise information about how they helped for **Task 2**. It was possible to convey the details using familiar vocabulary.

Task 1 and **Task 2** required candidates to provide information about past events. Those who wrote about what they were planning to do, using the future tense, gained only 1 mark for these tasks.

Task 3 invited candidates to state why they like visiting their grandparent's house. Most candidates focused on the character of the grandparent, some mentioned the things that the grandparent does for them, whilst others referred to the nature of their relationship with the grandparent. A small number of candidates gave a negative response and were rewarded for the reason offered.

For **Task 4**, candidates had to explain whether family is important to them. Many showed their appreciation of family support.

In **Task 5**, candidates were asked to say whether or not they would like to have a large family and to provide a reason. One mark was allocated to the statement of preference and one mark to the reason given.

Verbs

More candidates gained at least 6 out of 8 marks for Verbs. It was possible to answer this question using common verbs such as *aider, aller, avoir, donner, être, faire* and *préparer*.

Other linguistic features

This year, there was some improvement in the variety of sentence structures, however it is important to remember that candidates are expected to know basic elements of grammar. In this question, for example, some candidates did not use the help in the question to be consistent with the spelling and gender of *famille*. Some lacked consistency with the gender of the grandparent e.g. *mon grand-mère*.

Some candidates used correctly more complex language. Many used object pronouns and comparative adjectives successfully. However, many candidates used *parce que* to introduce their reasons and *qui* to provide additional information about the grandparent. There were few who used *je pense que* to introduce their opinions or who offered information using *où, quand, si*.

Question 3(b): Visite dans un pays froid

This was the most popular option with almost 64% choosing this question. It was possible to respond to the five tasks using familiar vocabulary and structures. Candidates who used what they knew best scored higher marks.

Communication

Task 1 invited candidates to say when and with whom they travelled. It was possible to gain the marks with a simple answer. Some chose to express the idea using more complex language. Candidates who chose to give the two required details in separate clauses needed to use an acceptable past tense verb in each clause/sentence in order to gain both marks e.g. *L'année dernière je suis allé aux États-Unis. J'ai voyagé avec mon ami*.

For **Task 2**, any relevant detail about the visit in a past tense was acceptable. Most commonly, candidates provided details either about their accommodation or information about what they did. A few candidates responded in the future tense, giving their plans for a forthcoming visit: a maximum of 1 mark was applied in such cases.

Task 3 asked candidates to explain the importance of travel to them. There were some interesting ideas regarding the many advantages of travel, reflecting the outward looking, adventurous nature of young people. The suggestions were often expressed using more complex structures (e.g. *les voyages me donnent la chance de découvrir un nouveau pays* or *connaître les cultures étrangères est la meilleure manière de devenir une personne intéressante*).

Candidates had to explain why they preferred either a hot or a cold climate in **Task 4**. One mark was awarded for the preference and one mark for the reason. Most favoured a warm climate.

Task 5 was quite straightforward and candidates simply had to say in which country they would like to live in the future. Candidates should always give an answer which will gain marks rather than trying to give a response which is truthful but for which they do not know the necessary vocabulary. The names of countries in French were perhaps not as well-known as might be expected. A straightforward response was all that was needed: *je voudrais habiter au Canada*.

Verbs

It was possible to answer this question using common verbs such as *faire, habiter, passer, préférer, prendre, rester, voyager* or *visiter*. There was evidence of improvement in control of verbs and it was noticeable in this option.

Other linguistic features

Some candidates were able to vary the sentence patterns they used. There were examples of correctly used object pronouns, comparative adjectives and infinitives used as the subject of a verb. However, there were fewer examples of conjunctions and relative pronouns. Many candidates could use *car, parce que, qui*, however they seemed less able to frame an idea using *où, quand, que, si*.

Question 3(c): Le dernier bus était parti.

Fewer than 7% of candidates chose this question.

This option required candidates to write an account using past tenses. Some candidates did not have sufficient control of perfect and imperfect tenses to produce a coherent answer.

Communication

Task 1 invited candidates to say what they did when they found that the last bus had left.

For **Task 2**, candidates needed to explain how their friend(s) helped.

There were various ways of gaining the marks for **Task 3**. Some explained how they made the journey home, others suggested the state they were in when they arrived home.

For **Task 4** the reaction of parent(s) was required.

For **Task 5**, candidates needed to say how they felt about the experience. Candidates could gain the marks for any personal response wherever they offered one. Some responded at the beginning of the account when they realised the problem they faced, others gave a summative response.

Verbs

The first three tasks were more open-ended. Candidates could therefore tell the story using verbs with which they were familiar e.g. *aller, chercher, rentrer, retourner* ou *trouver*. Success in this question depended very much on being able to use consistently perfect and imperfect tenses.

Other linguistic features

Success depended as much on managing simple elements of spelling and grammar as displaying knowledge and control of a range of structures. Candidates should be able to express the time correctly, to refer correctly to their home and to make correct use of the negative.