

## BUSINESS

9609/31 October/November 2017

Paper 3 Case Study MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 100

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is a registered trademark.

| Question | Answer  |   |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1        | Analyse the possible disadvantages for KKS of taking over VantoU. |   |  |  |  |  |
|          | Level   | Knowledge<br>3 marks  | Application<br>2 marks   | Analysis<br>5 marks  |  |  |
|          | 2   | 3 marks<br>Two or more relevant<br>points made about<br>disadvantages   | 2 marks<br>Points made are<br>applied to KKS   | 3–5 marks<br>Good use of theory<br>to explain<br>disadvantages of<br>merging |  |  |
|          | 1   | 1-2 marks<br>One or two relevant<br>points made about<br>disadvantages  | 1 mark<br>Some application to<br>KKS   | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of theory<br>to explain<br>disadvantages of<br>merging |  |  |
|          | 0   |   | No creditable content  |  |  |  |
|          | phrases<br>Answers  | in the case study without<br>s could include:<br>antages of merging<br>Possibility of governme<br>Diseconomies of scale<br>Duplication of IT system<br>Costs of managing the<br>of depots, culture clash<br>Cost of new marketing | ut expansion/comment.<br>Int investigating greater<br>increase costs<br>ns depots, lorries increa<br>change – redundancies<br>resolution | , reorganisation, closure  |  |  |
|          | Applica<br>•<br>•   | tion<br>reference to finance da<br>depots, lorries, delivery<br>largest providers   |  | et segments, one of  |  |  |

| Question | Answer   |         | Marks |
|----------|--|---------|-------|
| 2(a)     | Refer to Appendix 1 and other information. Calculate the gearing after the takeover of VantoU.   | ratio   | 4     |
|          | Gearing ratio = non-current liabilities/non-current liabilities + sharehold equity   | ders'   |       |
|          | or non-current liabilities/capital employed  | 1 mark  |       |
|          | New LT loans and equity + retained earnings = 500 (300 + 200) and 1800 (600 + 800 + 400)   | 2 marks |       |
|          | 500/2300   | 1 mark  |       |
|          | New gearing ratio = 21.74 or 21.74%<br>Some attempt  | 1 mark  |       |
|          | Other possibilities e.g. assets/equity 264 / 1000 = 26.4%  |         |       |
|          | or assets/non-current liabilities = 264 / 500 = 52.8%  |         |       |
| 2(b)     | Refer to lines 24–28. Calculate the difference between the current proposed annual depreciation expense of the IT equipment to be purchased in 2018. |         | 4     |
|          | Formula = purchase value – residual value/years  | 1 mark  |       |
|          | Original depreciation 20 – 5 / 5 = 3p.a.   | 1 mark  |       |
|          | New depreciation $20 - 8 / 3 = 4$ p.a.   | 1 mark  |       |
|          | Change is \$1m   |         |       |

| Question | Answer  |   |  |   |   | Marks |
|----------|---|---|--|---|---|-------|
| 2(c)     | Discuss the importance of the data in Appendix 1 to KKS's stakeholders. |   |  |   |   |       |
|          | Level   | Knowledge<br>2 marks  | Application<br>2 marks   | Analysis<br>4 marks   | Evaluation<br>4 marks   |       |
|          | 2   | 2 marks<br>Two or more<br>relevant points<br>made   | 2 marks<br>Application of<br>two or more<br>points to KKS  | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question   | 3–4 marks<br>Good<br>judgement<br>shown   |       |
|          | 1   | 1 mark<br>One relevant point<br>made  | 1 mark<br>Some<br>application to<br>KKS  | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question   | 1–2 marks<br>Some<br>judgement<br>shown   |       |
|          | 0   |   | No creditable  | e content   |   |       |
|          | •   | position, costs and r<br>financial equivalent<br>auditors check this<br>Accounts must be a<br>auditors check this<br>Accounts must enab<br>As a p.I.c. accounts<br>Directors need to kn<br>over (need accurate<br>in promotion can be<br>depend on accurate<br>Shareholders need a<br>Employees may find<br>plans<br>Managers decisions<br>informed by accurate | of physical/servic<br>true and fair refle<br>ole accurate asse<br>are open to publi<br>ow situation to ta<br>value of KKS), n<br>funded), oversea<br>knowledge)<br>accurate figures t<br>l accurate accour<br>on how to achiev | e inputs and outp<br>ection of the finan<br>ssment and payn<br>c scrutiny so mus<br>new strategic decision<br>narketing (need to<br>as expansion (finant<br>to decide whether<br>the help decide of<br>we objectives will | buts<br>ncial situation –<br>ment of taxes<br>st be accurate<br>sions on e.g. take<br>o know if 20% rise<br>ancing decisions<br>r to sell shares<br>in their career |       |
|          | or to the   | ·   | ans<br>expansion<br>changes<br>erial relating to the<br>shed accounts co   | e most important/   |   |       |

| Question |  |   | Answer   |  |  | Marks |  |  |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|-------|--|--|
| 3        |  | e the usefulness of<br>Option B.  | a marketing pla  | n for successfu  | l expansion  | 16    |  |  |
|          | Level  | Knowledge<br>2 marks  | Application<br>2 marks   | Analysis<br>6 marks  | Evaluation<br>6 marks  |       |  |  |
|          | 2  | 2 marks<br>Two or more<br>relevant points   | 2 marks<br>Application of<br>two or more<br>points to PV   | 4–6 marks<br>Good use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question  | 4–6 marks<br>Good<br>judgement<br>shown  |       |  |  |
|          | 1  | 1 mark<br>One relevant point<br>made  | 1 mark<br>Some<br>application to<br>PV   | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question  | 1–3 marks<br>Some<br>judgement<br>shown  |       |  |  |
|          | 0  | 0 No creditable content   |  |  |  |       |  |  |
|          | •<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>•<br>• | rs could include:<br>Marketing plan sets<br>into overall business<br>Advantages are inte<br>increased employee<br>Audit of current posi<br>sales, good brand na<br>Objectives to renew<br>The above analysis<br>objectives in context<br>Application by refere<br>Plan can be monitor<br>and customers<br>Preparing the plan ir<br>if organisation object<br>functional area plans<br>on might consider tha<br>and not based on or<br>ease for this reason. | s plan<br>grated marketing<br>commitment as<br>tion includes con<br>ame<br>sales revenue gr<br>enables KKS to o<br>of their market s<br>ence to Option B<br>ed and adjusted<br>nvolves cost of m<br>tives are unclear<br>s<br>at without the pla | approach, increa<br>purpose identified<br>opetitive market,<br>rowth<br>consider ways of<br>situation<br>with feedback fro<br>anagers time and<br>and/or not reflec<br>n marketing activ | ased efficiency,<br>d<br>falling profits and<br>achieving<br>om sales figures<br>d possible conflict<br>ted in other |       |  |  |

## Cambridge International A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

| Question | Answer  |                  | Marks |  |  |
|----------|---|------------------|-------|--|--|
| 4(a)(i)  | Refer to Appendix 2.<br>Draw a decision tree using this information                             |                  |       |  |  |
|          | Capital cost  | Expected returns |       |  |  |
|          | (32)  | 50               |       |  |  |
|          |   | 35               |       |  |  |
|          |   | 90               |       |  |  |
|          | (45) 0.7  | 40               |       |  |  |
|          | Drawing nodes and decision points   | 2 marks          |       |  |  |
|          | Correct figures entered   | 2 marks          |       |  |  |
|          | Some attempt at nodes/decision points   | 1 mark           |       |  |  |
|          | Some attempt at figures   | 1 mark           |       |  |  |
| 4(a)(ii) | Calculate the expected monetary values for Options A  | A and B          | 2     |  |  |
|          | <b>Option A</b><br>Expected value at decision node = $50 \times .4 + 35 \times .6 = $4^{\circ}$ | 1m               |       |  |  |
|          | Take off capital cost (–32) = \$9m  | 1 mark           |       |  |  |
|          | Use of probabilities or some attempt  | 1 mark           |       |  |  |
|          | <b>Option B</b><br>Expected value at decision node = $90 \times .3 + 40 \times .7 = $55$        | ōm 1 marks       |       |  |  |
|          | Take off capital cost (–45) = \$10m   | 1 mark           |       |  |  |
|          | Use of probabilities or some attempt  | 1 mark           |       |  |  |

| Question |   |  | Answe   | r   |  | Marks |  |  |
|----------|---|--|---|---|--|-------|--|--|
| 4(b)     | Recommend whether KKS should choose Option A or B. Justify your answer using your results from <u>4(a)</u> and other information. |  |   |   |  |       |  |  |
|          | Level   | Knowledge<br>2 marks   | Application<br>2 marks  | Analysis<br>4 marks   | Evaluation<br>4 marks  |       |  |  |
|          | 2   | 2 marks<br>Two or more<br>relevant points<br>made  | 2 marks<br>Application of<br>two or more<br>points to KKS   | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question   | 3–4 marks<br>Good<br>judgement<br>shown  |       |  |  |
|          | 1   | 1 mark<br>One relevant<br>point made   | 1 mark<br>Some<br>application to<br>KKS   | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question   | 1–2 marks<br>Some<br>judgement<br>shown  |       |  |  |
|          | 0   | 0 No creditable content  |   |   |  |       |  |  |
|          | Note to examiners: max L1 for A and E if only 3a results or other information used, recommendation essential for Level 2 A and E. |  |   |   |  |       |  |  |
|          |   | and price sensitive<br>Option A market e<br>could be very cost<br>Option B (lowest for<br>entering near start<br>nigher costs and is<br>Use of Ansoff's ma | tion A B2C = 9, O<br>to return/net profit.<br>es based on forece<br>e? What might the<br>cale – what happe<br>ghest profit but als<br>e consumers.<br>xpanding rapidly I<br>ly<br>precast) also expand<br>of rapid growth a<br>s relatively more u<br>atrix – Option A ar | Is a difference of<br>casts of sales and<br>y be based on?<br>ns after that?<br>o greatest risk as<br>out low margins m<br>anding and offers<br>nd gaining brand<br>inknown<br>and B could be mai | 1 significant?<br>costs – how<br>competitive market<br>nean a misforecast<br>advantage of<br>loyalty but has |       |  |  |
|          |   | on by justified rec<br>levant points.  | ommendation bas   | ed on considerat  | ion of the above or  |       |  |  |

| Question |         |  | Answer  |   |   | Marks |
|----------|---------|--|---|---|---|-------|
| 5        |         | s whether KKS shou<br>s. (lines 63–67).  | uld introduce the   | e new HR Direc  | tor's proposed  | 16    |
|          | Level   | Knowledge<br>2 marks   | Application<br>2 marks                                    | Analysis<br>6 marks   | Evaluation<br>6 marks   |       |
|          | 2       | 2 marks<br>Good knowledge<br>of relevant factors   | 2 marks<br>Application of<br>two or more<br>points to KKS | 4–6 marks<br>Good use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question | 4–6marks<br>Good<br>judgement<br>shown in<br>weighing up<br>the factors |       |
|          | 1       | 1 mark<br>Some knowledge<br>of relevant factors  | 1 mark<br>Some<br>application to<br>KKS                   | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of<br>theory to<br>answer<br>question | 1–3 marks<br>Some<br>judgement<br>shown                                 |       |
|          | 0       |  | No creditabl  | e content   |   |       |
|          | •       | Disadvantage is free<br>working hard to mee<br>Suggestions are to r<br>MBO, increased for<br>supervisors | et objectives as la<br>move to more tas                   | ck of supervision k orientated cult                         | n<br>ure reflected in   |       |
|          | Factors |  |   |   | . ,   |       |
|          | •       | autonomy?  | -   | -   |   |       |
|          | •       | Will the increased cl<br>efficiency<br>Will involvement in s   |   | -   |   |       |
|          | •       | arrangements<br>Will employees beco<br>committed or v.v.   | ome more alienat  | ed and less invo  | lved and  |       |
|          | •       | Cost of changing to labour turnover The meetings to dis  |   | -   |   |       |
|          | •       | Targets may be set resented  | to be easy to ach   | lieve or if impose  | ed may be   |       |
|          | •       | Will the proposals le<br>marketplace?<br>Will all objectives be  |   | ·   | changing  |       |
|          | •       | Will redundancies le inevitable?   | •   |   | ? Are they  |       |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 5        | <ul> <li>Discussion of factors should enable evaluative comments. These might be demonstrated through: <ul> <li>A supported recommendation</li> <li>Consideration of the need for a change – does the firm actually know that the current arrangements have led to inefficiencies? How responsible are these for the fall in sales/profits? It looks as though something should be done.</li> <li>Most important factor or ranking of importance of factors in the decision</li> <li>Weighing up the impact on the business if proposal implemented</li> <li>Consideration of how much research has been done on possible impact of proposed changes</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |

| tion Answer                                 |  |   | Marks  |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|
|   | Questio  | ns 6 and 7 use this r   | narking grid:  |   |
| Knowledge<br>3 marks                        | Application<br>3 marks                                 | Analysis<br>4 marks   | Evaluation<br>10 marks   |   |
|   |  |   | 7–10 marks<br>Good judgement shown throughout with<br>well supported<br>conclusion/recommendation, focused or<br>KKS   |   |
| 3 marks<br>Good<br>understanding<br>shown   | 3 marks<br>Good<br>application<br>to KKS               | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to explain<br>points made to<br>explain points<br>made   | body of the answer <b>and</b> an attem<br>support conclusion/recommendat<br>focused on KKS<br>OR<br>0.<br>effective and well supported   | pt to<br>ion,   |
| 1–2 marks<br>Some<br>understanding<br>shown | 1–2 marks<br>Some<br>application<br>to KKS             | 1–3 marks<br>Limited use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to support<br>points made   | either within the answer<br>OR<br>a weakly supported   |   |
|   |  | No creditable c   | ontent   |   |
|   | Knowledge<br>3 marks<br>Good<br>understanding<br>shown | Knowledge<br>3 marks       Application<br>3 marks         3 marks       Application         3 marks       3 marks         Good       3 marks         Good       application         understanding<br>shown       3 marks         1-2 marks       Some<br>understanding         1-2 marks       Some<br>application         application       application         understanding       1-2 marks         Some       application | Knowledge<br>3 marksApplication<br>3 marksAnalysis<br>4 marks3 marksApplication<br>3 marksAnalysis<br>4 marks3 marks<br>Good<br>understanding<br>shown3 marks<br>Good<br>application<br>to KKS3–4 marks<br>Good use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to explain<br>points made to<br>explain points<br>made1–2 marks<br>Some<br>understanding<br>shown1–2 marks<br>Some<br>application<br>to KKS1–3 marks<br>Limited use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to explain<br>points made to<br>explain points<br>made | Questions 6 and 7 use this marking grid:Knowledge<br>3 marksApplication<br>3 marksAnalysis<br>4 marksEvaluation<br>10 marks3 marksApplication<br>3 marksAnalysis<br>4 marks7–10 marks<br>Good judgement shown throughd<br>well supported<br>conclusion/recommendation, focu<br>KKS3 marks<br>Good<br>understanding<br>shown3 marks<br>Good<br>application<br>to KKS3–4 marks<br>Good use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to explain<br>points made to<br>explain points<br>made4–6 marks<br>Some judgement shown in the mathematication<br>support conclusion/recommendation<br>focused on KKS<br>OR<br>0.<br>effective and well supported<br>conclusion/recommendation focu<br>KKS1–2 marks<br>Some<br>understanding<br>shown1–2 marks<br>Some<br>application<br>to KKS1–3 marks<br>Limited use of<br>reasoned<br>argument or use of<br>theory to support<br>points made to<br>explain points<br>made1–3 marks<br>Limited attempt to show judgeme<br>either within the answer<br>OR<br>a weakly supported<br>conclusion/recommendation with |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 6        | Discuss the extent to which the information in Appendix 3 is sufficient strategic analysis to allow KKS to consider entering the market in country Y.   | 20    |
|          | Note to examiners: the question is about the role of the information, not whether to enter the new market.  |       |
|          | Answers that contain application of one or more techniques using case material can be credited with K and P. A and E will require some comment in relation to the question  |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Answers could include:</li> <li>Identification of strategic analysis techniques: SWOT, PEST, Boston Matrix, Porters, core competencies</li> <li>Description of how APP 3 relates to the techniques (application)</li> </ul>  |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Consideration of other information that may be useful in the decision including:         <ul> <li>forecast costs</li> <li>market research data</li> <li>on revenue, prices, target customers</li> <li>exchange rates</li> <li>labour market in country Y</li> <li>internal; changes required</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consideration of the role of strategic analysis in strategic planning:         <ul> <li>vision, objectives, analysis, choice, implementation, review</li> <li>Reference to the data in Appendix 3 and its use in analysis</li> <li>Role of analysis is to highlight factors that might make for success or failure and enable a correct decision to be taken regarding the two options for expansion</li> </ul> </li> </ul>      |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Role of implementation is to select appropriate methods for expansion that give the maximum chance of success</li> <li>Evaluation may be demonstrated by: <ul> <li>judgements regarding the extent to which the data in App. 3 can be used in strategic analysis</li> <li>considering the relative importance of other information</li> <li>assessing the value of strategic analysis as opposed to other parts of strategic management in making a decision</li> <li>Candidates who appreciate that strategic management might suggest that the decision made is actually not the best way forward.</li> <li>Another possibility is to suggest as a contrast a possible scenario if no strategic management is carried out</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 7        | Evaluate how KKS could successfully achieve the strategic implementation of its decision to expand internationally.  | 20    |
|          | Note to examiners: the decision is made- the question is not about how to implement a business plan in terms of production and marketing plans but the ways in which the organisation must prepare and manage its organisation for the change that such expansion will need.   |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Answers could include:</li> <li>description of the kind of changes such expansion requires in terms of the points in the final paragraph</li> <li>components of strategic implementation: business plans, the importance of culture and managing a change in existing culture, preparing contingency plans</li> <li>Identification by senior staff of what is required to change</li> <li>Setting out a plan to do this then communicating the plan to staff in order to effect the changes listed in case</li> </ul>   |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Application of change management techniques to above:</li> <li>create positive climate</li> <li>identify key committed leaders for change (project champions) starting at the top</li> <li>give vision and clear objectives with reasons</li> <li>involve all concerned/affected by the changes</li> <li>provide training and appropriate rewards</li> <li>acknowledge and overcome resistance to change</li> <li>apply Kotters eight weeps strategy or freeze/unfreeze or other models for change management</li> <li>provide enough resources for the change</li> </ul> |       |
|          | <ul> <li>Evaluation might be demonstrated by:</li> <li>consideration of the need to change the existing HRM and marketing arrangements - are they fit for the purpose of change?</li> <li>are directors suitably prepared and focused on/for changes (they have lots of proposals to consider)</li> <li>recommendation or ranking of proposals/techniques for change management</li> </ul>   |       |