Cambridge International AS & A Level

BUSINESS

Paper 3 Case Study MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 100 9609/32 May/June 2022

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2022 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1	Co •	mponents using point-based marking: Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.
	Fro	m this it follows that we:
	а	DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)
	b	DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct
	С	DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require <i>n</i> reasons (e.g. State two reasons).
	d	DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
	е	DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities
	f	DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
	g	DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)
2	Pre	sentation of mark scheme:
	•	Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point. Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points. Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).
3	Ca	culation questions:
	•	The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for
	•	each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the
		question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a
	•	calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown. Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme,
		award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
	•	Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

4 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

PREPARATION FOR MARKING

- 1 Make sure that you have completed the relevant training and have access to the *RM Assessor Guide*.
- 2 Make sure that you have read and understand the question paper, which you can download from https://support.rm.com/ca
- 3 Log in to RM Assessor then mark and submit the required number of practice and standardisation scripts. You will need to mark the standardisation scripts to the required accuracy in order to be approved for marking live scripts. You may be asked to re-mark them, or to mark a second sample, if you do not meet the required accuracy on your first attempt.

MARKING PROCESS

- 1 Mark strictly to the FINAL mark scheme, applying the criteria consistently and the general marking principles outlined on the previous page.
- 2 If you are in doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader.
- 3 Mark at a steady rate through the marking period. Do not rush, and do not leave too much until the end. If you anticipate a problem in meeting the deadline, contact your Team Leader immediately and the Examiners' Helpdesk.
- 4 Examiners will prepare a brief report on the performance of candidates to send to their Team Leader via email by the end of the marking period. The Examiner should note strengths seen in answers and common errors or weaknesses. Constructive comments on the question paper, mark scheme or procedures are also appreciated.

MARKING SPECIFICS

Crossed out work

- 1 <u>All</u> of a candidate's answers, *crossed out* or not, *optional* or not, <u>must</u> be marked.
- 2 The only response not to be marked is one that has been crossed out and <u>replaced</u> by another response for that <u>exact same</u> question.
- 3 Consequently, if a candidate has crossed out their response to an <u>optional</u> question and gone on to answer a <u>different</u> optional question then <u>both</u> attempts must be marked. The higher mark will be awarded by the system according to the rubric.

0 (zero) marks or NR (no response)

- 1 Award **NR** if there is <u>nothing</u> at all written in answer to that question (often the case for optional questions).
- 2 Award **NR** if there is a <u>comment which is not an attempt</u> at the question (e.g. 'can't do it' or 'don't know' etc.)
- 3 Award NR if there is a <u>symbol</u> which is <u>not an attempt</u> at the question, such as a dash or question mark.
- 4 Award **0** (zero) if there is any <u>attempt</u> at the question which <u>does not score marks</u>. This includes copying the question onto an Answer Booklet.

Annotation

- 1 Every <u>question</u> must have <u>at least one</u> annotation e.g. <NAQ> if it is an NR and <X> or <seen> if 0 marks are awarded.
- 2 Every <u>page</u> of a script must have <u>at least one</u> annotation e.g. <BP> for a blank page.

Paper 3 Specific Marking Guidance

- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Handwriting and use of English language are not to be assessed.
- A response consisting of a list should be assessed. It is unlikely that such a response will score highly in the levels in each Assessment Objective.
- If a response does not fit in the space provided it should be assessed as if it did whether it is shorted, or longer and a continuation sheet is used.
- The main RM Assessor annotations to be used are K (Knowledge), APP (Application), AN (Analysis), EVAL (Evaluation).
- For each of these four annotations, the number of marks awarded must match the number of times that annotation is on the response.
- Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP.
- Application marks are not awarded for repeating material from the case study. Application is achieved by answering in the context of the case or by using the information in the case to help answer the question.
- In Section B, candidates answer either **Question 6** or **Question 7**. The Section B question that the candidate does not answer must be entered as 'no response'.

This mark scheme includes a summary of appropriate content for answering each question. It should be emphasised, however, that this material is for illustrative purposes and is not intended to provide a definitive guide to acceptable answers. It is quite possible that among the scripts there will be some candidate answers that are not covered directly by the content of this mark scheme. In such cases, professional judgement should be exercised in assessing the merits of the answer and the senior examiners should be consulted if further guidance is required.

Application marks are not awarded for repeating material from the case study. Application is by answering in the context of the case or by using the information in the case to help answer the question.

Question			Answer		Marks
1	Analyse	<u>two</u> possible bene	fits to WTZ of intro	ducing Kaizen.	10
	Level	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 5 marks	
	2	3 marks Good knowledge of Kaizen and one or two benefits	2 marks Two points applied to WTZ	4–5 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to explain benefit(s)	
	1	1–2 marks Knowledge of Kaizen and / OR knowledge of kaizen and one benefit	1 mark One point applied to WTZ	1–3 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to explain benefit(s)	
	Que Leve Knowlec Definitior	stion is about bene el 1 all skills if good lge n of Kaizen: continuc	e case study is not a efits to WTZ. No main d knowledge of Kaiz bus improvement bas ugh clearly signposte	rks for drawbacks. zen not demonstrated sed on worker group	
	Good kno • Kaizo with • Kaizo	owledge en includes teamwo each group given th	rking through kaizen e power to take decis	groups (or quality circles)	
	 Incre Incre Reduced 	uction in absenteeisr eased employee mot ease in productivity. uction in proportion o ovement in quality	ivation.		
	objec Incre 5% c Colle High		will increase costs. Increasing costs. aterials is inefficient. rease costs.	n could help meet this	

Question	Answer	Marks
1	 Analysis Building chains of arguments linked to Knowledge and Application points raised above. Knowledge of workforce can be linked to find solutions to the problems faced at the Hydrocamel factory. This could result in an increase in productivity which would reduce unit costs of production. Employees may be motivated by the increased responsibility (Herzberg) associated with Kaizen resulting in a reduction in labour turnover and a reduction in recruitment costs. Therefore, profits would increase. A reduction in faulty products would cut costs and ensure customers are satisfied leading to higher sales. Increased responsibility at work will enhance employee satisfaction and may result in lower rates of absenteeism. Therefore, there will be an increase in output and less disruption to production and less pressure on other employees due to absences. Kaizen empowers workers and results in the quick introduction of new ideas and motivates employees to come up with more ideas. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	Refer to lines 71–74. Calculate the:	
2(a)(i)	cross elasticity of demand of the Hydrocamel following the price reduction of the Hippo Barrel	2
	XED = % change in quantity of good A / % change in price of good B (1 mark if no relevant calculation)	
	XED = -8 / -20 (1) allow 8 / 20 (1)	
	XED = 0.4 (2)	
	Other answers –0.4 (1)	

Question	Answer			Marks
2(a)(ii)	annual revenue of the Hydrocamel if its price is r	educed by 10 ^o	%.	5
	Rationale	Content	Marks	
	Correct answer with or without units. Working not required.	\$5 644 800	5	
	Correct working for calculating revenue. Correct Qd \times correct price.	44 800 × 126	4	
	New quantity demanded following price change	44 800	2	
	Percentage change in demand following price change	12(%)	1	
	Price after 10% reduction OR relevant formula(e)	(\$)126	1	
	Relevant formulae if no relevant calculation	PED OR Revenue	1 max	
	No creditable content		0	
	Revenue = price × quantity (1 mark if no relevant cal PED = % change quantity / % change price Or % change in quantity = PED × % change in price calculation) New price is: 140 – 10% = (\$)126 (1)		elevant	
	% change in quantity given that PED = -1.2 is:			
	-1.2 × -10 = 12% (1)			
	new quantity = 40 000 × 1.12 = 44 800 (2)			
	revenue = 44 800 × 126 (4)			
	= \$5 644 800 OR 5 644 800 (5)			
	126 × 40 000 = (\$)5 040 000 (3)			
	126 × 50 000 = (\$)6 300 000 (3)			
	Answer based on $126 \times$ by any quantity = (3) [on base quantity correctly was awarded 2 marks] OFR	sis that calculat	ting	

Question			Ans	wer		Marks
2(b)		ulness to WTZ	•) and other inform data when plannin		12
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 4 marks	
	2	2 marks Two or more relevant points	2 marks Two points applied WTZ	3–4 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	3–4 marks Good judgement shown e.g. well supported conclusion	
	1	1 mark One relevant point made	1 mark One point applied WTZ	1–2 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	1–2 marks Some judgement shown e.g. one factor very important	
	 Elas of its – – Defi 	s determinants. PED measures XED measures product. PrED measure spending. nition of marke	s responsivenes s responsivenes responsivenes ting strategy: co	ss of Qd to change	in price of another in promotional ng mix to achieve	
	Applicat			s and marketing ba		
	Hyd	rocamel.		ich is \$20 cheaper		
	price	9		stic –1.2 i.e. sensiti	-	
	• Use _ _	of answer to C Revenue witho	2(a) (OFR) out price reducti venue of \$44 80	.4 (i.e. goods are si on would be \$5.6m 0.		
	 Pror Pror \$10 	notional elastic notional elastic 000.	ity estimated to ity linked to cha	be elastic/respons anging current pron rough specialist ma		
	selli					

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	 Analysis Reducing price will result in an increase in quantity demanded if estimate of PED is correct and therefore revenue will increase. PED suggests that, other things being equal, a decrease in price will result in a marginal increase in revenue. This can be used to help make pricing decisions as it will help to demonstrate whether profit will also increase. XED indicates that demand for Hydrocamel is not sensitive to change in price of competitor product, so WTZ has some control over pricing. PrED is elastic, therefore an increase in revenue, suggesting that greater advertising is worthwhile. 20.83% reduction in price is required to achieve sales of 50 000 units, assuming that PED is -1.2 19.2% increase in promotion could raise sales to 50 000 units assuming PrED is 1.3. This would only be an extra \$1923 of promotional spending. 	
	 Evaluation Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. Judgement of the usefulness of elasticity to marketing planning. Elements that the evaluation/judgement might depend on: Decisions of competitors will affect the impact of any price change made by WTZ. Accuracy of the elasticity estimates. Significance of other factors that may also influence the marketing strategy such as operations and human resource. Marketing strategy depends on effective market research to identify needs of customers and determine how best to appeal to them. 	

Question			Ansv	ver		Marks
3		e the likely imp n corporate soo		future profitability ility (CSR).	of increased	16
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks	
	2	2 marks Two or more relevant points	2 marks Two points applied to WTZ	4–6 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	4–6 marks Good judgement shown	
	1	1 mark One relevant point made	1 mark One point applied to WTZ	1–3 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	1–3 marks Some judgement shown	
	the impa • Trea • Envi • Ethic • Acco • Role • Role Understa	n of corporate so ct of its decision atment of employ ronmental respo cal supply chain punting practices of triple bottom of social audits	is on society ar yees onsibility e.g. po s s line bility – relations	ship between profit a		
	BranIncreationEmp	e impact of incr nd loyalty develo eased sales bloyee retention ts of adopting Ca	ps and recruitmer			
	 Minit Incre Core Cont 	use of case infor mum wage paid easing absentee e activities bene tracts with chari	to employees ism in Hydroca fit the environm ties	-		

Question	Answer	Marks
3	 Analysis Benefits of using environmental credentials in marketing of the business to build positive publicity and gain sales. This could result in higher revenues and profit. Using recycled plastics to make Hydrocamel could be a USP to promote sales to charities enabling WTZ to deal with challenge of Hippo Barrel. Changing working conditions and treatment of employees may be expensive e.g. paying above minimum wage. This could result in higher prices and customers looking elsewhere for materials and products. Improved treatment of workers could result in higher productivity and a reduction in absenteeism and labour turnover so costs might be reduced resulting in higher profitability. 	
	 Evaluation Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. Change in culture toward employees might be required to avoid accusations of selective use of CSR. Does CSR matter to customers of the recycled materials? Cost may be more important. Developments in technology offer opportunity for WTZ to act more responsibly without an overall increase in costs. Has to be genuine CSR not 'greenwash'. Potential backlash if WTZ operations do not match claims. Significance of competitors' strategy towards CSR – if competitors are not embracing CSR this could put WTZ at a price disadvantage. Need to communicate CSR through social/environmental audits so that customers are aware of the increased focus. Profitability is a relative concept whereas profit is an absolute concept. An increased focus on CSR could increase profit without increasing profitability. WTZ might have more important problems to focus on in the short term such as quality standards or how to respond to competition. 	

9609/32

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Markv&chymamicpapters/.tcom2022 PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	Refer to Table 1 and Table 2. Calculate for 2022 the:	
4(a)(i)	gearing ratio	3
	Non-current liabilities / (Shareholder Equity + NCL) \times 100	
	OR	
	Debt / (Debt + equity) × 100	
	OR	
	Long term liabilities / capital employed \times 100 (1 mark if no relevant calculation)	
	Capital employed = Shareholder equity + NCL = 4 + 4 +14 = (\$)22(m) (1)	
	Gearing = 14 / 22 × 100 (2)	
	Gearing = 63.6% OR 63.6 OR 64 (allow 63) (3)	
	If wrong year: 2021 = 56.2% OR 56.2 OR 56 (2)	
	OR Long term liabilities / equity \times 100 (1 mark if no relevant calculation) (Accept equivalent variations of this equation) Gearing = 14 / 8 \times 100 (2)	
	Gearing = 175% OR 175 (3)	
	If wrong year: 2021 = 128% OR 128 (2)	
	Other likely answers 14 / 18 × 100 = 77.7 OR 77.8 OR 78 (%) (2)	
	Answer based on 14 / any number \times 100 e.g.14 / 28 \times 100 = 50 (2) As long as gearing equation is correctly stated.	
	OFR	
4(a)(ii)	dividend yield	2
	dividend yield = dividend per share / market share price \times 100 (1 mark if no relevant calculation) Dividend per share = 4.8 / 8 = (\$)0.60 per share (1) OR DY = 0.6 / 3 \times 100 (1)	
	DY = 20% OR 20 (2)	
	2021: Award 1 mark for DY = $4.4 / 8 / 3 \times 100 = 0.55 / 3 \times 100 18.3\%$ OR 18.3 (although share price not known for 2021)	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)(iii)	profit margin	2
	profit margin = profit / revenue \times 100 (1 mark if no relevant calculation)	
	PM = 5.6 / 60 × 100 (1)	
	PM = 9.3% OR 9.3 (2)	
	If answer given for 2021: 12.8% OR 12.8 (1)	

			An	swer		Mark
4(b)	the usef	fulness to WTZ	Z's Board of I	a) and other inforn Directors of ratio and the formation of the formation	nalysis when	
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 4 marks	
	2	2 marks Two or more relevant points made	2 marks Two points applied to WTZ	3–4 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	3–4 marks Good judgement shown e.g. well supported conclusion	
	1	1 mark One relevant point made	1 mark One point applied to WTZ	1–2 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	1–2 marks Some judgement shown	
	APP on Knowled	ly. (Maximum o dge	of 4 marks)	alysis then limit m		
	APP onl Knowled Rati Und - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ly. (Maximum of dge os help identify lerstanding of ra Gearing – prop Dividend yield relative to its sl Profit margin – Current/acid te lerstanding of s From working of Loans – debt fi Share capital – Retained profit tax or distribute tion ase information rent gearing is l	of 4 marks) the financial h atios portion of capit – how much a hare price measures a c est – measure ources of finan capital inance with int - selling share = internal sou ed to sharehol or calculations high - above 5	nealth of the busines al financed by debt company pays out company's earnings of liquidity nce erest s to shareholders irce of finance from ders	ss in dividends relative to revenue profit not paid in on plans	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(b)	 Profit margin has decreased from 12.8% to 9.3% Link to Dividend per share is \$0.60 Use of DY is 20% Use of Current ratio = 2021 = 5.1 / 3.6 = 1.42: 1, 2022 = 5.8/4.2 = 1.38: 1 Use of Acid test ratio = 2021 = 1.9 /3.6 = 0.53: 1, 2022 = 1.8/4.2 = 0.43: 1 Linking to options for growth Purchase Lacpac Expansion into country S by building a factory and contracts Reference to Amandi currently having a controlling stake in the business 	
	 Analysis Gearing high so expansion funded by borrowing would potentially be difficult as banks may consider the business too risky. High gearing means that successful expansion financed by further debt can produce significant increase in returns to shareholders. Issuing shares would impact control of the business and reduce Amandi's influence. High dividend yield would be attractive to potential shareholders making a share issue successful. Profit margin has fallen making the business less attractive to invest in. Growth of business over time may make investment attractive to either shareholders or financial institutions. 	
	 Evaluation Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. A judgement of the usefulness of ratio analysis to the choice of finance. Evaluation of other factors that influence choice of finance such as: The business's attitude toward risk Desire of Amandi to retain control may be crucial Interest rate on debt finance Cost of issuing shares Elements that the judgement of usefulness might depend on such as: The reliability of the figures Historical figures Trend analysis of ratio results. 	

Question	Answer					Marks
5	Recommend appropriate changes to WTZ's organisational structure to 'support growth and drive innovation' (line 89). Justify your recommendation.				16	
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks	
	2	2 marks Two or more relevant points made	2 marks Two points applied to WTZ	4–6 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	4–6 marks Good judgement shown	
	1	1 mark One relevant point made	1 mark One point applied to WTZ	1–3 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to answer question	1–3 marks Some judgement shown	
	business how auth • Spar man • Impa com • Forn • Dele • Cent offic • Deca emp Knowled • Fund such • Hier orga • Matr func • Divis	n of organisation is that shows the nority is passed in n of control – nu ager, levels of h acts communica munication is or nal and informal egation – passing tralised – keepir entralised – keepir entralised – keepir entralised – decision ower subordination ge of different si ctional - department as marketing archical – consist inisation, apart firix – structure that tional department	way in which m through the orga mber of subord ierarchy tion – more hier ne-way and top- g authority down g all important sion making por tes and area or tructures: nents have clea sting of multiple rom one, are su at creates proje nts e that organises	inates reporting to eac rarchical structure may	ogether, and ch more senior y suggest that erarchy ers with head e hierarchy to pecialist area mbers of the e else. ss traditional	

Question	Answer	Marks
5	 Application Diversified nature of WTZ and possible link to product-based structure or geographic-based structure and therefore probably a tall structure WTZ has a hierarchical structure and therefore probably a tall structure Difficulty of exerting control for directors as the business grows Current structure as a weakness Current hierarchical structure with functional areas of operations, human resources, marketing and finance Innovations such as Hydrocamel and bricks made from waste plastic and rubber at WTZ and link to structure Expansion abroad and link to structure Possible takeover of Lacpac and previous takeover of MR Analysis Matrix structure bringing different functions together for individual projects could be beneficial for innovation as with the Hydrocamel and recycled bricks. Structure by product could enable more targeted marketing to occur increasing sales ensuring strategy is developed by those with strong product knowledge. Hierarchical/tall structure keeps control at the top, meeting objectives of Amandi. Enables consistent approach across the business so maintaining brand image. Structure based on geography could bring benefits as WTZ expands abroad. Might enable decisions to reflect local/national differences so meeting needs of customers more effectively and resulting in increased sales. Flatter structure might promote greater delegation and empower employees resulting in a more innovative culture. Delegation empowers employees and may increase motivation resulting in higher productivity. 	
	 Evaluation Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. A judgement about the most appropriate structure with supporting evidence. Evaluation of the relative benefits/limitations of different structures Elements that the judgement may depend on such as: Difficulty of changing structure as it may also require a change in culture. Attitude of directors such as Amandi. Reaction of employees. Key influence. Importance of other factors driving growth and innovation such as culture. Make no changes as the business has been innovative and successful as it is. 	

Questio	n	Ar	nswer	Marks		
	Questions 6 and 7 use this marking grid:					
Level	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 10 marks		
3				7–10 marks Good judgement shown throughout with well supported conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case		
2	3 marks Good understanding shown	3 marks Good application to the case	3–4 marks Good use of reasoned argument or use of theory to explain points made	4–6 marks Some judgement shown in the main body of the answer and an attempt to support conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case. OR effective and well supported conclusion/ recommendation, focused on the business in the case		
1	1–2 marks Some understanding shown	1–2 marks Some application to the case	1–2 marks Limited use of reasoned argument or use of theory to support points made	1–3 marks Limited attempt to show judgement within the answer. OR a weakly supported conclusion/ recommendation with some focus on the business in the case		
0		No cre	editable content			

Question	Answer	Marks
6	Evaluate the usefulness to WTZ of external environment analysis (PEST) as a framework to develop business strategy.	20
	Note: If there is no reference to or knowledge of PEST analysis then limit to K 2 APP 2 AN 0 EVAL 0 for other points related to strategic analysis as a framework for developing business strategy.	
	Knowledge	
	• PEST – Political, Economic, Social and Technological [reward even	
	 though clearly signposted in case] Understanding of different elements of PEST: 	
	 Political – laws and regulation 	
	 Economic – factors influencing demand and macroeconomic environment 	
	 Social – demographic change 	
	 Technological – advances in automation Identification of PEST analysis as a strategic analysis tool. 	
	 Identification of PEST analysis as a strategic analysis tool. Strategic analysis is the first stage in strategic management answering 	
	the question, where are we now?	
	 Need to understand the external environment – requiring a detailed PEST analysis. 	
	Understanding of business strategy – outlines a plan of action to	
	achieve the vision and set objectives of an organisation and guides decision-making processes.	
	 Role of objectives in developing business strategy. 	
	 Explanation of other strategic analysis techniques – SWOT, Porter's Five Forces, Boston Matrix, core competencies. 	
	Application	
	• WTZ appears to have objective to expand given its history and the	
	growth strategies being considered.Core competency of WTZ is recycling waste materials links to Option 2.	
	 PEST applied to WTZ – making use of information in Appendix 1 to 	
	 illustrate usefulness of PEST. Political - increased regulation provides opportunities and threats to 	
	WTZ's core business. Link to potential takeover of Lacpac.	
	 Economic - economic growth in country R is stable and high, giving opportunities for further expansion decisions. 	
	 Economic - interest rates set to decrease and link to financing 	
	decisions.	
	 Social – increasing interest in environmental considerations link to increased focus on CSR. 	
	 Technological – innovations in processing of waste materials e.g. 	
	 use of computer controlled machinery. Other techniques applied to WTZ e.g. SWOT. 	
	 Strengths e.g. brand reputation. 	
	 Weaknesses e.g. high gearing linking to financing any future expansion. 	
	 Opportunities e.g. market growth in countries R and S. 	
	 Threats e.g. competition from Hippo Barrel. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
6	 Analysis Analysis is about the use of the techniques to shape strategy Analysis of how PEST might guide strategic decisions: Reduction in interest rates may enable more ambitious strategy such as Option 1. Opportunities to process plastic waste from developed countries e.g. Option 2 Regulation on food packaging may favour takeover of Lacpac. Anticipating changes to the external environment will enable WTZ to take decisions to reduce risk and take advantage of opportunities. Analysis of how other SA techniques can shape strategy: Focus on strengths and core competencies in choosing strategy will reduce risk to the business. Identification of weaknesses that need to be addressed when making decisions or alternative sought e.g. financing. 	
	 Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. Clear conclusion as to the usefulness of PEST and strategic analysis to developing business strategy including: Critical comments on PEST: PEST on its own is not enough to develop strategy - need understanding of internal factors as well WTZ operates in a dynamic environment so analysis may quickly become outdated. Importance of understanding where the business is now in order to generate ideas and/or support for expansion. Subjective interpretation of the models – one manager's opinion of SWOT may be very different to another. Ranking the usefulness of the techniques in relation to expansion plans. An assessment of the importance of timing and a timescale in carrying out analysis. 	

Question		Answer		Marks	
7	Evaluate the strategic choice techniques that could help WTZ choose between option 1 and option 2.				
		Option 1: Takeover of Lacpac	Option 2: Expansion into country S		
	Driving forces	Rapid market growth in use of recyclable non-plastic packaging in the food industry	Builds on core competencies of WTZ		
	Restraining forces	High cost	Competitive market in country S		
	Capital cost	\$20m	\$10m		
	Accounting Rate of Return (ARR)	15%	10%		
	Decision tree: Expected monetary value Probability of success	\$9m 60%	\$4m 70%		
	 Note: Focus should be on strattechniques If there is no reference to 2 APP 2 AN 0 EVAL 0 for options 1 and 2. 	o, or knowledge of SC to	echniques then limit to K		
	 recovered and the a Decision trees: inclu outcomes from invest data Force field analysis: decision 	d deciding between diff ues such as Ansoff Mat i investment appraisal. ues help evaluate the ris s. nt choice techniques: il: payback and ARR. H verage profitability of th sion of probability to ca stments and make choid considers driving and r siders risk of growth stra ive factors. nalysis techniques when	erent options. rix, Decision trees, sks and rewards from ow quickly investment is le investment. lculate the monetary ce based on quantitative restraining factors in a ategies with reference to		

Question	Answer	Marks
7	 Application Use of the techniques/factors for two options – Appendix 2 examples. Ansoff's matrix: Option 1 is diversification (new product development) Option 2 is market development. Option 2 has higher probability of success and less risk Option 1 has higher net return and ARR Option 2 capital cost is \$10m greater – link to gearing 63.6% Amandi is willing to take risks Driving and constraining forces: Competition in country S but risk is still estimated to be lower High cost of option 1 and link to gearing. 	
	 Analysis Techniques encourage directors to consider the possible quantitative outcomes of each strategy. This will help decide which is the best and therefore reduce risk. How techniques may be used and the advantages and disadvantages. Force field analysis encourages managers to consider different aspects of a decision. By identifying restraining forces action can be taken to mitigate the negative effects of those restraining forces. Better decision-making by considering the quantitative aspects of the choices: Use of probabilities in decision trees therefore reducing risk for WTZ of option choice ARR enables WTZ to choose option with highest return which may be important to shareholders as will influence dividends. The use of techniques to analyse aspects of the options. Interpretation of Ansoff's matrix to compare the relative risk of each option therefore guiding decision-making. e.g. option 2 might be considered to be market development and therefore lower risk than option 1 so is therefore a better option 	
	 Evaluation Only award EVAL if the candidate has also demonstrated APP. Judgement/evaluation about usefulness of strategic choice techniques supported by e.g.: Is Ansoff useful in this case as risk more influenced by factors such as potential regulation and market growth. Subjective nature of Force Field Analysis Limitations of using only quantitative or qualitative techniques. Elements that the evaluation/judgement might depend on such as: Accuracy of estimates for quantitative techniques Other information 	

Question	Answer	Marks
7	 Evaluation of other factors that influence choice such as: Attitude of directors Attitude to risk Influence of strategic analysis Corporate objectives of WTZ Impact of different strategies on stakeholders Long-term v short-term impacts Other information that might be useful Capital available. Justification of most useful technique(s) with supporting argument. 	