

# Cambridge International AS & A Level

Published

9489/33

Paper 3 Interpretations question

May/June 2023

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2023 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

# Cambridge International AS & A Level – Markw Schememicpapers Joon 2023

## **Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

#### **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

## **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

### Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
  is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
  referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these
  features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The
  meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

## **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

### **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2023 Page 2 of 7

## General levels of response

Process for awarding marks:

- Markers review the answer against the AO4 marking criteria, and award a mark according to these criteria.
- Generally, the subsequent mark awarded for AO1 will be the same level. In exceptional cases, markers could award marks in different levels for the two AOs. This is because the ability to recall, select and deploy relevant historical material will be central to any effective analysis and evaluation of the interpretation.
- Responses that focus on contextual knowledge without reference to the interpretation cannot be rewarded.

Underlining is used in this mark scheme to indicate the main interpretation of the extracts.

AO4	Analyse and evaluate how aspects of the past have been interpreted and represented.	Marks
Level 6	<ul> <li>Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a complete understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation.</li> <li>These responses explain all elements of the historian's interpretation.</li> </ul>	18–20
Level 5	<ul> <li>Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a sound understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation.</li> <li>These responses engage with elements of the historian's interpretation, but without explaining it as a whole – they are consistent and accurate, but not complete and may cover less important sub-messages.</li> </ul>	15–17
Level 4	<ul> <li>Responses use the extract, but only demonstrate partial understanding of the interpretation and approach(es) of the historian.</li> <li>These answers identify elements of the historian's interpretation, but without adequately explaining them, typically explaining other less important message(s) as equally or more important.</li> </ul>	12–14
Level 3	<ul> <li>Responses demonstrate understanding that the extract contains interpretations, but those explained are only sub-messages.</li> <li>Responses may use a part of the extract to argue for an interpretation that is not supported by the whole of the extract, or may refer to multiple interpretations, often a different one in each paragraph.</li> </ul>	9–11
Level 2	<ul> <li>Responses summarise the main points in the extract.</li> <li>Responses focus on what the extract says, but explanations of the extract as an interpretation lack validity.</li> </ul>	5–8
Level 1	<ul> <li>Responses include references to some aspects of the extract.</li> <li>Responses may include fragments of material that are relevant to the historian's interpretation.</li> </ul>	1–4
Level 0	No creditable content.	0

© UCLES 2023 Page 3 of 7

AO1	Recall, select and deploy historical knowledge appropriately and effectively.	Marks
Level 6	Demonstrates detailed and accurate historical knowledge that is entirely relevant.	18–20
Level 5	Demonstrates detailed and mostly accurate historical knowledge that is mainly relevant.	15–17
Level 4	Demonstrates mostly relevant and accurate knowledge.	12–14
Level 3	Demonstrates generally accurate and relevant knowledge.	9–11
Level 2	Demonstrates some accurate and relevant knowledge.	5–8
Level 1	Demonstrates limited knowledge.	1–4
Level 0	Demonstrates no relevant historical knowledge.	0

© UCLES 2023 Page 4 of 7

Question	Answer	Marks
1	The Origins of the First World War	40
	Interpretation/Approach	
	The main interpretation is that Europe by 1914 was highly militarised, and that this made war more likely. Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. This is an interpretation that explains the ways in which militarism would heighten tensions generally, but would also work in times of crisis to increase the risk of war. The historian is concerned with the significance of a background factor – militarism – rather than with the specific triggers for war in 1914. The issue of blame on specific countries or individuals is not the focus, though militarism is seen as more dangerous in Germany/Russia/Austria-Hungary than in Britain and France.	
	Glossary: Early post-WW1 interpretations tended to blame Germany, but quickly a reaction against this occurred, with a variety of interpretations blaming other nations. This may be termed revisionism. The turning point in the historiography was Fischer's work of the early 1960s which went back to blaming Germany – sometimes known as anti-revisionism. Since then, there has been a vast variety of interpretations, looking at the importance of culture, individuals, contingent factors etc., with no clear consensus, though most historians would still place a significant burden of responsibility on Germany.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 5 of 7

Question	Answer	Marks
2	The Holocaust	40
	Interpretation/Approach	
	The main interpretation is that whilst the first paragraph explains why a 'Holocaust' could occur, it is insufficient to explain why the 'Final Solution' did occur, which is done in the second paragraph. Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve illustration and explanation of both these aspects from the extract. The historian assumes that a synthesis of intentionalism and structuralism (i.e. Hitler and the nature of the Nazi state) is now the accepted view of how a holocaust could occur, but points out what this leaves unexplained, in particular the escalation to a policy of genocide. The conclusion appears to be that this was driven by local initiatives/improvised steps taken in the war context of late 1941. Applying 'labels' plausibly to this interpretation will depend even more than usual on the quality of explanation of the extract: the historian clearly has a synthesis view of explaining how 'a' Holocaust could occur, but a functionalist explanation of how 'the' Holocaust came about. Understanding and illustrating this distinction will be required for L6.	
	Answers explaining both paragraphs, but not seeing the insufficiency of the first will be L5. Explaining just one paragraph = L4.	
	Glossary: Candidates may use some/all of the following terms: Intentionalism – interpretations which assume that Hitler/the Nazis planned to exterminate the Jews from the start. Structuralism – interpretations which argue that it was the nature of the Nazi state that produced genocide. There was no coherent plan but the chaotic competition for Hitler's approval between different elements of the leadership produced a situation in which genocide could occur. Functionalism sees the Holocaust as an unplanned, ad hoc response to wartime developments in Eastern Europe, when Germany conquered areas with large Jewish populations. Candidates may also refer to synthesis interpretations, i.e. interpretations which show characteristics of more than one of the above. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 6 of 7

Question	Answer	Marks
3	The Origins and Development of the Cold War	40
	Interpretation/Approach	
	The main interpretation is that the historian blames both sides for the Cold War, but blames the USA more. Showing complete understanding of the interpretation will involve illustration and explanation of both these aspects from the extract. This is an interpretation that clearly blames the fundamental confrontation on the different natures and characteristics of the two superpowers. However, it places the greater burden of blame on the USA, for escalating the tensions. It never explained the new international realities to its own people, instead exaggerating the threat posed by the Soviets. This simply reinforced negative tendencies in the Soviet leadership, and allowed a downward spiral into confrontation. The USSR is not exonerated, but is shown as reacting to US provocations.	
	Properly supported answers can use either post-revisionist or revisionist as labels.  Support must be on illustrating/explaining blame.  Blaming both, but blaming the US more = L6  Blaming both = L5  Blaming just the USA (or only properly supporting on the USSR) = L4  Blaming the USSR (i.e. trad/orthodox) = L3	
	Glossary: Traditional/Orthodox interpretations of the Cold War were generally produced early after WW2. They blame the Soviet Union and Stalin's expansionism for the Cold War. Revisionist historians challenged this view and shifted more of the focus onto the United States, generally through an economic approach which stressed the alleged aim of the US to establish its economic dominance over Europe. Post-revisionists moved towards a more balanced view in which elements of blame were attached to both sides. Since the opening of the Soviet archives post-1990 there has been a shift to attributing prime responsibility to Stalin – a post-post-revisionist stance which often seems very close to the traditional view, but which often places great importance on ideology. What is important is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.	

© UCLES 2023 Page 7 of 7