

**CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**  
**International General Certificate of Secondary Education**

**MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2014 series**

**0470 HISTORY**

**0470/43**

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2014 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**Depth Study A: Germany 1918–1945**

- 1 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source. e.g. losing work and status; victimised for race; patriotic; incredulous, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. e.g. range of professions closed; loss of vote; faith not criteria; war record; could not believe treatment. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source. e.g.
- Yes – financiers aiming for Communist victory; international conspiracy, etc.
- No – all Jews to be annihilated; their birth automatically reason for distrust; all parasites/vermin; warmongers etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of ‘How far’. [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A memories; B British historian so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of the source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(b) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two marks e.g. began as Hitler's bodyguard in 1925; Himmler leader from 1929; main role in crushing opposition/Final Solution etc. [1–2]

(ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. synagogues destroyed; Jews sent to concentration camps etc. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail. e.g. 'spontaneous' riots because of assassination of Van Rath in Paris; co-ordinated across Reich by Himmler; Hitler Youth and SA actions; synagogues and Jewish businesses targeted; c.100 Jews killed; 30 000 to concentration camps; no aid from police or rest of population etc. [2–4]

(iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. creation of jobs aided popularity; to maintain industrialists' support; to repress Trade Unions/socialism; direction of labour; rearmament etc. [2–6]

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions. e.g. Yes – SS and Gestapo power of the state; No – propaganda more effective. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of fear OR other methods, single aspect explained e.g.

Yes – Enabling Act; example of banning of political opposition; state backing for power of SS/Gestapo; concentration camps/execution; control of Courts; persecution of minority groups; no major opposition etc.

No – Propaganda example; satisfied sectors of society; Army loyalty; no resistance to persecutions; pride in military success/expansionism etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of fear OR other methods with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of fear AND other methods must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941.**

- 2 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. defeated because of weaknesses; reform essential for survival; industrialisation is main aim etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. the disasters of war result of economic and military backwardness; industrialisation must continue despite critics etc. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – they were rewarded for what they produced; bonuses; incentives; Stakhanovites got extra rewards etc.
- No – not paid by the time they spent at work; Stakhanovite production norms treated as normal, which most workers could not meet, so suffered pay reductions etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is Stalin and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of the source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**(b) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two. e.g. One of the new industrial towns quickly built with expert – often foreign – and much inexperienced labour. Iron and steel town, where many Russians were re-settled etc. [1–2]

**(ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies Gulag features and purpose e.g. Punishment camp with hard labour. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes features and purpose. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. Various types but often sited near place identified for major projects – new towns, dams, canals, etc.; poor conditions and food, hard labour and vicious discipline; often death inevitable; intimidation by guards and inmates. Purpose: ‘corrective’; to deal with potential counter-revolutionaries; economic etc. [2–4]

**(iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. To put his stamp on Communism in USSR after achieving leadership; to get rid of the old ‘capitalist’ NEP; to try to catch up the ‘50 to 100’ years behind the West; for defence against aggression; to energise the revolution; to control all production from the centre; to be able to set targets; increased control on people and industry etc. [2–6]

**(iv)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions. e.g. Yes, production went up everywhere. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of success OR lack of success, single factor given e.g.

Yes – eventually production figures for wheat and meat rose enormously; able to export to raise capital for industrial projects; new industrial towns had better housing and medical care; education; women working; ‘no unemployment’; huge increases in heavy goods – second only to USA in 1941 etc.

No – early famines and opposition of kulaks took time to overcome; kulaks killed livestock; peasants needed time to learn to drive tractors, use fertilisers and modern methods; industrial conditions often poor; impossible targets; cannot trust official production figures; often fear of punishment drove workers on; purging of kulaks and managers etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of success OR lack of success with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of success AND lack of success must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**Depth Study C: The USA 1919–41**

- 3 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. Backward; poverty-stricken; lack of amenities; some transport/ entertainment etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. percentages of facilities; poor schools, roads etc. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – provided employment; some recompense; electricity; rapid completion etc.
- No – huge area flooded; no cash/re-housing aid for tenants; only a minority employed; no improvement in housing or local agriculture etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of ‘How far’. [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – one a government survey, the other an economist so they both could be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of the source(s) in context. Include at this level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(b) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Roosevelt's radio talks to restore confidence, explain his intentions and policies. Speaking directly to 'the little man', immensely popular etc. [1–2]

(ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]

Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. closed banks; gave government support. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. Day after took office ordered Bank Holiday; emergency Banking Act; weakest closed permanently; government grants & expert advice; encouraged deposits; in June the new Banking Act allowed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to regulate banks and interest rates etc. [2–4]

(iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Unconstitutional; cost; increased taxation; Republican laissez faire; not radical enough for Father Coughlin, Huey Long etc. [2–6]

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. Yes, not permanent; no, created jobs. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of limited effect OR benefits, single factor given e.g.

Yes – only short term measures; not all aspects covered; others had wider significance – Social Security Act; Labour Relations Act; banking regulation, ending Prohibition etc.

No – restored confidence; NRA, NIRA initiated greater government involvement; NRA banned child labour; AAA, FSA and TVA aided agricultural recovery and development; CCC environmental benefits; jobs stimulated spending etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of limited effect OR benefits with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of limited effect AND benefits must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**Depth Study D: China, 1945–c.1990.**

- 4 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Not successful; President at fault; heavy involvement and investment; frightened of political movements that might affect American interests etc [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. sees the defeat as harming US interests, blame 'rests with' the President; thinks diplomats 'lost sight of tremendous stake' over the last 20 years; fearful of Communism spreading throughout Asia. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – city has fallen; troops waiting to surrender at barricades; seem willing to be marched off to prison; victors able to put up posters shows control of city etc.
- No – held out for a month; still fighting to maintain an escape corridor; fierce battle etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One source is from America, the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]



Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(b) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid change to a maximum of two e.g. 1950 Marriage Law abolished child marriage, infanticide, bigamy and other marital inequalities etc. [1–2]

(ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. confiscation and retribution. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each method described in additional detail e.g. Confiscated the land and redistributed it to the peasants; set up People's Courts where peasant could 'speak bitterness' against landlords who were punished – often killed etc. [2–4]

(iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Part of the ongoing story of foreign interference in China, exploiting it which had been going on since the nineteenth century; heavy investment needed to be protected; disliked Communism; saw China as an element to isolate Communist USSR; had supported Nationalists against Japan during Second World War etc. [2–6]

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. yes, essential for peasants. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of land issue OR other problems, single factor given e.g.

Yes – Mao wanted his revolution to be peasant-based, to eradicate landlord class; peasants conservative and suspicious of change; improved farming methods necessary; collectivisation etc.

No – inflation; civil war and Japanese occupation damage; Russians had dismantled and looted much of the industry in Manchuria; the need to develop new industry; education and training; social reforms in education, health and welfare; women etc. [2]

Level 3 Explanation of land issue OR other problems with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) [3–5]

Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of land issue AND other problems must be addressed. [6–8]

<b>Page 10</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>IGCSE – May/June 2014</b>	<b>0470</b>	<b>43</b>

**Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century**

- 5 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Dangerous; harsh sentences; linked to communism and violence; government had great power; had important white supporter etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'banished', 'silenced', 'house arrest'; 'life imprisonment'; 'Sabotage Act', 'Umkhonto we Sizwe'; a barrister refused to give evidence, willing to act etc. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – treated blacks as human; long-established; publicity; good reputation amongst Africans; shared commitment to black political rights; worried the government etc.
- No – broad definition of communism in Act made it a 'catch all'; Mandela not a member etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of 'How far'. [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is an opponent of apartheid; B Mandela in Court, so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of the sources in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 11	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(b) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. President of Natal ANC from 1951 and national President from 1953 until illegal in 1960; devout Christian; firm advocate of non-violence; effective speaker, travelled abroad; awarded Nobel Peace Prize 1961; tribal chief until banned by government etc. [1–2]

(ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. divided facilities into European and non-European. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. all public services, transport, open spaces divided and provision did not need to be equal – meant reduced quality, over-crowding for non-whites; formalised segregation strictly enforced; humiliating; international criticism etc. [2–4]

(iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. A major foundation of 'separate development'; linked to Group Areas Act of 1951 led to Bantustans 1959; forced removals, e.g. Sophiatown, District 6; a result of Tomlinson Commission; gave tribal chiefs more local powers etc. [2–6]

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. Yes, had not stopped segregation; no, gained international recognition. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of failure or success, single factor given e.g.

Yes – Defiance Campaign/Freedom Charter no effect on government; increased range of apartheid measures/government repression; split ANC/PAC and formation of Umkhonto we Sizwe; Sharpeville; Rivonia Trials etc.

No – increased ANC/Youth League (c7000 to 100 000 by end 1950s)/Indian organisations' membership; some Church support; 'stay-at-homes' had some effect; Treason Trials had collapsed; Black Sash and Women's League success v. Pass books; dignity increased international criticism – Anti-Apartheid Movement founded in UK, 1959; publicity of Sharpeville; Organisation for African Unity 1963 made abolition of apartheid first priority; UN and Commonwealth etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of failure OR success with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.  
BOTH sides of failure AND success must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994.**

- 6 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Palestinians had not changed their minds about being ruled by Israelis but had benefited from changes in education etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. ‘Despite their desire for independence’; ‘benefited’ from the Israelis’ policies, especially in education with the establishment of six universities since 1967 etc. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – fair given the context; allegations are false propaganda; Arabs stay; some dislocation of Arabs but caused by war, improvements, or for protection of the state etc.
- No – allegations of brutality and repression; dislocation of Arabs is not for their good but for the good of Israelis and the state of Israel etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of ‘How far?’ [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is a Jewish source and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

<b>Page 13</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>IGCSE – May/June 2014</b>	<b>0470</b>	<b>43</b>

**(b) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Cooperative venture usually agricultural; owned collectively by members; children educated collectively; started 1909 to combine socialism and Zionism; later dealt with their defence; during 1980 recruitment began to fall off etc. [1–2]

**(ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies events e.g. Violent protest, sparked by rumours of Israeli atrocities, etc. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes events. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. Young Palestinians protesting at Israeli rule of Gaza and West Bank; started 9 Dec 1987 – continued till 1993; many dead on both sides; inability to control protest led some Israelis to seek a settlement with PLO etc. [2–4]

**(iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Allocated by UN Plan '48 as a separate Arab state; occupied by Jordan '48, annexed '49; 1967 Six Day War, taken by Israel; PLO launched guerrilla attacks from Jordan till '71, later from Lebanon; Israeli occupation became more aggressive with increasing numbers of Jewish settlers expropriating land; more Arab refugees to Jordan and Lebanon; one of the factors behind the Intifada etc. [2–6]

Page 14	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. no, there is no real settlement. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of control OR lack of control, single factor given e.g.

Yes – Israel recognised PLO as the legitimate representative of the Palestinian people; established a Palestinian Authority which gave Palestinians control over daily life in Gaza and the West Bank; Palestinian Police Force set up; Israel promised to withdraw its army from most populated areas; took effect May '94; same year Arafat, Rabin and Peres won Nobel Peace Prize; PLO moved from Tunisia to Gaza and had authority over Gaza and Jericho etc.

No – put off long term decisions about Jewish settlements, Jerusalem, etc.; Israeli army stayed in areas to protect Jewish settlements; still aware that the Palestinians cannot do anything without Israel's approval; resentment and fighting – attacks; Netanyahu slowed the process after the assassination of Rabin etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of control OR lack of control with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of control AND lack of control must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 15	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

### Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

- 7 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. successful; some public support; long-term influence possible. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. ‘remarkable victory’; ‘granted all their demands’; ‘sympathy’; ‘wider federation of labour’. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – had given in only on a promise of future payment; the leaders had not remained true to original aims; had promised good behaviour; suffering in vain etc.
- No – did achieve negotiation and concession on the sixpence; had gained publicity; appeared to be some sympathy from capitalist press etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of ‘How far?’ [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is from a newspaper; B a socialist publication, so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

**(b) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each valid person to a maximum of two e.g. Ben Tillett; Tom Mann; Will Thorne; Annie Besant; John Burns. [1–2]

**(ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. casual work; hard and often dangerous; different wage rates etc. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. work depended on ships being in dock; whims of the ganger choosing each day from those at dock gates; no safety restrictions stevedores, who loaded ships, paid more than dockers who unloaded etc. [2–4]

**(iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. success of the dockers and others gave impetus to unskilled to form unions; influence of socialists, SDF; TUC; improved education and communication etc. [2–6]

**(iv)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. yes, wages increased; no, many strikes failed. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of change OR continuity, single factor given, e.g.

Yes – unions gave them common purpose; unskilled gained a voice; publicity for Match Girls/Gasworkers/Dockers, etc.; social problems given more political attention; pressure from socialists; rise in TUC membership etc.

No – agricultural workers' lives had not changed; employers also became better organised; use of blackleg labour; defeat of strikes such as miners; urban conditions and overcrowding remained serious problems; economic downturn etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of change OR continuity with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument, (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND continuity must be addressed. [6–8]



<b>Page 17</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>IGCSE – May/June 2014</b>	<b>0470</b>	<b>43</b>

### Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

- 8 (a) (i)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. a corpse being picked over; other powers in conflict over spoils; all predators; relative size of competitors etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. the dragon; examples of other powers' images etc. [5–6]
- (ii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
- Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes – China had to pay for conflict; make trade concessions; lost military reputation; sharpened rivalries between other powers; did not achieve change of government etc.
- No – stimulated Chinese military and economic reforms; retained their system of government; allies had gained wealth and potential economic benefit etc. [3–5]
- Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii)** Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]
- Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is a cartoon; B a general history of war so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information [3–5]
- Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
- Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.
- 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 18	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2014	0470	43

(b) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Qing dynasty; Imperial Household Department; Grand Council; Provinces and Governor-Generals; Mandarins. [1–2]

(ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. attack on Christians and foreigners; defeated by foreign armies, etc. [1–2]

Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect supported with additional detail e.g. began in 1898 by secret society in northern China; at first anti-dynasty but gained backing of Empress Dowager against foreigners and Christians; by 1900 in Peking; Siege of the Legations; Eight Nations' Alliance to defeat, etc. [2–4]

(iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. weak government could not control many of the provinces; sheer size of Empire; divisions over reform; undermined by commercial interests; corruption; antiquated army; Western determination and strength etc. [2–6]

(iv) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]

Level 1 – Simple assertions e.g. yes, lost status; no, brought improved government awareness. [1]

Level 2 – Explanation of losses OR gains, single factor given e.g.

Yes – lost control over vital ports (Treaty of Peking 1860) and trade monopoly; territories lost – Indo-China; Burma; Korea; Manchuria; old traditions increasingly challenged; spread of Christianity; dynasty weakened etc.

No – government aided by British and French to put down Taiping Rebellion; some western influence on improving administration and army; trade did increase; educated reformers etc. [2]

Level 3 – Explanation of losses OR gains with multiple factors. Allow single factor with multiple reasons.

**OR**

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of losses AND gains must be addressed [6–8]