UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/21

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

	www.dynamicpapers.com			
Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21	

OPTION A: 19TH CENTURY OPTION

1	Study Sources A and B. How similar are the accounts in these two sources? Explain y answer using details of the sources.					
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]			
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid comparison	[1]			
	Level 2	Different: information that is in one source but not in the other OR Similar: they are on the same topic	[2]			
	Level 3	Similarities and/or differences of detail e.g. The bomb misses in A, hits the car in B. Gun fired in A, fired twice in B. The car went the wrong way in both, etc.	[3–5]			
	Level 4	Different on who was to blame for the war <i>i.e. Germany in A, Austria in B.</i>	[6]			
	Level 5	L3 plus L4	[7]			

2 Study Sources C and D. How far does Source C explain why Berchtold issued the statement in Source D? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. [8]

Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid conclusion	[1]
Level 2	Answers explaining why he issued the statement, but no use of C	[2]

Level 3 Comparison of content of C and D to explain yes OR no [3–4] e.g. It does explain it because in Source C the Germans give the green light to Austria, and in Source D they are going ahead in dealing with Serbia. It does not fully explain it. In Source C it urges Austria to go to war, but in Source D Berchtold is taking a fairly moderate line and not humiliating Serbia.

Level 4 As L3, but yes AND no

[5–6]

- Level 5 No: Source C is insufficient because there are reasons other than those in C and D [7] *i.e. Gives reason(s) from C but shows there are others too, e.g. the assassination of the Archduke.*
- Level 6 Yes: uses contextual knowledge to explain the critical importance of Germany's guarantee in Source C in Austria's action against Serbia [8]

_		WW	w.dynamicpape	ers.com
	Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21
3	-	rces E and F. Does Source E make you surpriseing the sources and your knowledge.	ed by Source F?	Explain your [8]
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1 Wr OF	ites about the sources, no surprised/not surprised		[1]
	lde	entifies something surprising/not surprising in F, no ex	planation of why	
	Level 2 Ex	plains whether or not F is surprising, no use of E		[2]
	e.g acc	mpares source content to decide surprised OR not a. Not surprised because in both sources they agree cepted the Austrian demands.		
		n. Surprised because in E he says it will be evidence only but in F he suggests that they should seek further		ejects Serbia's
	Level 4 Co	mpares source content to decide surprised AND not	surprised	[5–6]
		OR L4, plus evaluates either/both of E and F to decid valuation of E could be of Grey, the Serbian ambassa	•	
4		rces G and H. Does Source H prove that Grey w er using details of the sources and your knowledg		e G? Explain [8]
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1 Wr	ites about sources, no valid conclusion		[1]
	Level 2 Ye	s/No: explained using undeveloped provenance of G/	/H	[2]
	Level 3 An	swers explaining whether content of G can be believe	ed, no use of H	[3–4]
	Level 4 Ye	s/No: compares content of G and H – they differ so so	omeone's lying	[5]
	Level 5 Co	mpares content for difference: evaluates G to conclue	de Grey is lying/not	lying [6]
		: the difference explained by evaluation of H as tions/shift blame to Britain	s an attempt to ju	istify German [7]
	act	: the difference explained by Bethmann-Hollweg's tions g. To try and stop Britain from declaring war.	purpose of influer	ncing Britain's [8]

	www.dynamicpapers.com				
	Page 4 Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus		Syllabus	Paper	
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21	
5	-	ource I. What is the message of this source? Exp rce and your knowledge.	ain your answer ι	using details of [7]	
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the quest	ion	[0]	
	Level 1	Surface description of cartoon		[1]	
	Level 2	Misinterpretations e.g. That Serbia will get what it deserves.		[2–3]	
	Level 3	Sub-messages [watch out for points that are no more than description <i>e.g. Serbia is plucky, Austria is more powerful than Se</i>	•	[4–5]	
	Level 4	The Big Message This must encompass Austria and Serbia, and Austria <i>i.e. That Austria will not be justified in retaliation again</i> OR The cartoonist's opinion <i>e.g. The cartoonist is expressing disapproval of Austri</i> Reserve 7 marks for answers that include the id <i>disproportionate</i> .	st Serbia. a retaliating against	t Serbia.	

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Austria was responsible for the start of the First World War? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1	No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2	Uses sources to support OR reject the statement	[4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement [7–10]

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'Austria responsible' and 'N' for 'Austria not responsible/someone else responsible'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
BCEI	ABCDEFGHI

			ww	/w.dynamicpap	pers.com
	Page 5		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21
			OPTION B: 20TH CENTURY OPTIO	N	
1			es A and B. How far do these two sources agr e sources.	ee? Explain you	answer using [8]
	Level 0	No e	vidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources, no valid comparison		[1]
		OR	greement: information that is in one source but not ee: they are on the same topic	in the other	[2]
		e.g.	ements of detail That there was an explosion on the railway, that t the Lytton Commission was set up etc.	he Japanese inva	[3–5] ded Manchuria,
			greement on the consequences of the crisis for the of the crisis for the of the damaging in A, first great defeat/League los	•	[6–7] fidence in B.
	Level 5	L3 pl	lus L4		[8]
2			es C and D. How would Chiang Kai-shek have using details of the sources and your knowled		ce D? Explain [7]
	Level 0	No e	vidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1	Ansv	vers that give no reaction from Chiang		[1]
			ains Chiang's reaction based on a misinterpretatior He would be pleased because the League is dealir		[2]
		i.e. H	ction based on everyday empathy How anyone would have reacted to what is happen contextual knowledge to explain how Chiang would	•	[3] o use of Source
			ges Chiang's reaction based on comparison of cont He would have been shocked because in Source	C he expects the	

Level 5 Explains Chiang's reaction to the message of Source D using the context of 1932–3[6–7] e.g. The cartoon shows the League using the Lytton Commission report as a way of saving face. Chiang would have been appalled by the way the League was prepared to

abandon him and allow the Japanese to take over the whole of Manchuria.

with the matter, but in Source D the League is just giving in to Japan.

Page 6				pers.com		
		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper		
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21		
Study Source E. Are you surprised by this source? Explain your answer us the source and your knowledge.						
Level 0	No e	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]		
Level 1	Write OR	es about the source, no mention of surprised/not su	urprised	[1]		
	Iden	tifies something in the source as surprising/not surpri	prising, but no exp	lanation		
Level 2			d provenance	[2]		
Level 3	sour	ce(s)/contextual knowledge	ontent of the sourc	e against other [3–4]		
	e.g. isola	Not surprised that you will get only words out ationist at this time/Surprised that Japan could seiz				
Level 4	As L	.3, but surprised AND not surprised		[5]		
Level 5			extual knowledge	on attitude of [6–7]		
-			rce G is wrong?	' Explain your [8]		
Level 0	No e	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]		
Level 1	Write	es about the sources, no valid explanation of right/v	wrong	[1]		
Level 2				[2–3]		
Level 3	Ansv OR	wers on whether or not G is wrong, no use of F		[4]		
		-	l knowledge.			
Level 4				[5]		
Level 5	Com	npares F and G, uses evaluation of Source G to dec	cide right/wrong	[6]		
Level 6	e.g. you	The two sources seem to agree that Japan was right can't say that F proves G is right about this as F is	ght to expand into obviously untrustv	vorthy. It's been		
	the sou Level 0 Level 1 Level 2 Level 2 Level 3 Study 3 Level 4 Level 0 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 3	the source a Level 0 No a Level 1 Write OR Iden Level 2 Surp <i>i.e. 1</i> Level 3 Surp <i>sour</i> <i>i.e. 1</i> Level 3 Surp <i>sour</i> <i>i.e. 1</i> Level 4 As L Level 5 Not Brite Study Source answer usin Level 0 No a Level 1 Write Level 1 Write Level 2 Yes <i>e.g.</i> Level 3 Ansr OR Ansr <i>i.e. 1</i> Level 3 Corr <i>i.e. 1</i> Level 3 Corr <i>i.e. 1</i>	 the source and your knowledge. Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the questic Level 1 Writes about the source, no mention of surprised/not surplice. He's a British government minister so Level 2 Surprised/not surprised, explained through undevelope <i>i.e. He's a British government minister so</i> Level 3 Surprised OR not surprised: explained by checking consource(s)/contextual knowledge <i>i.e. But no use of the provenance.</i> e.g. Not surprised that you will get only words out <i>isolationist at this time/Surprised that Japan could seid such a powerful navy and would stop them.</i> Level 4 As L3, but surprised AND not surprised Level 5 Not surprised: explained using cross-reference/conterministic government in 1931 to Manchurian crisis Study Sources F and G. Does Source F prove that Souranswer using details of the sources and your knowledge. Level 1 Writes about the sources, no valid explanation of right/A Level 2 Yes/No: explained by face value comparison of contentie. <i>g. No, because in both sources it says that Japan is g</i> Level 3 Answers on whether or not G is wrong, no use of F OR Answers on whether or not F is wrong, no use of G <i>i.e. Explained by cross-reference to sources/ contextual</i> Level 4 No: both sources have the same attitude <i>i.e. They both approve of Japan's actions</i>. Level 6 Compares F and G, uses evaluation of Source F to deal <i>i.e. They both approve of Japan's actions</i>. 	 the source and your knowledge. Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question Level 1 Writes about the source, no mention of surprised/not surprised OR Identifies something in the source as surprising/not surprising, but no exp Level 2 Surprised/not surprised, explained through undeveloped provenance <i>i.e.</i> He's a British government minister so Level 3 Surprised OR not surprised: explained by checking content of the source source(s)/contextual knowledge <i>i.e.</i> But no use of the provenance. e.g. Not surprised that you will get only words out of the USA beca isolationist at this time/Surprised that Japan could seize Singapore beca such a powerful navy and would stop them. Level 4 As L3, but surprised AND not surprised Level 5 Not surprised: explained using cross-reference/contextual knowledge British government in 1931 to Manchurian crisis Study Sources F and G. Does Source F prove that Source G is wrong? answer using details of the sources, no valid explanation of right/wrong Level 2 Yes/No: explained by face value comparison of content e.g. No, because in both sources it says that Japan is going to expand. Level 3 Answers on whether or not G is wrong, no use of F OR Answers on whether or not F is wrong, no use of G <i>i.e.</i> Explained by cross-reference to sources/ contextual knowledge. Level 4 No: both sources have the same attitude 		

www.dynamicpapers.com					pers.com
	Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	n Śy	llabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012		0470	21
-		ources H and I. How far do these two sou swer using details of the sources and your b		same mes	sage? Explain [8]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the	e question		[0]
	Level 1 Surface description <i>i.e. No interpretation of either source.</i>				[1]
		No valid comparison, but some interpretation <i>i.e.</i> Of one or both cartoons.			[2–3]
		Comparison of sub-messages e.g. They both say the League is failing, they b	oth see Japan a	as an aggre	[4–6] essor etc.
		Comparison of the Big Message <i>i.e. That the League is useless both now and ir</i> <i>e.g. They have the same message because ir</i> <i>Manchurian crisis, but will be useless next tir</i> <i>League is going to regret its failure to act effec</i> <i>resolve the situation.</i>	Source H the ne too, and in	Source I it	shows that the
		Comparison of the cartoonists' attitudes/points <i>i.e. Both are condemning the League's inactior</i>			[8]

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that the League's response to Japan can be defended? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

i.e. Was the League genuinely trying or not? Is there a valid excuse for its failure? Do NOT allow responses which simply deal with the League failing.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1 No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement	[4–6]

Level 3	Uses sources to support AND reject the statement	[7–10]
---------	--	-------	---

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'can be defended' and 'N' for 'cannot be defended'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
ABCE	ABDEGHI